

him - & it is a question with me whether
the reference on p. 692 may not be to some
of the MSS. left under your kind care.

I hope it would ~~not~~ give you much trouble
to consult the Report. Probably you may
have it. If not, it is sure to be in the Tem-
ple Library. I am very sorry to impose upon
you the trouble of looking into it ^{and into the MSS. box.} but sh^d?
the result be that you have got those papers
it wd save me much bother. P. 681 in Mr.
Aitken's letter seems to mean nothing more
than a reference to p. 684. -

Thanks for your last very kind letter.

Poor little Mary's marriage not only had my
sanction under the special circumstances, as
she & get no one to take care of her miserably
cross baby, but was solemnised by myself at
the parish where her parents live. She has call-
ed here once since, & seems much more cheery;
she looked very pretty & nice at the wedding, in a
light blue dress - & behaved as well as possible -
he seemed downcast - which I thought no bad
sign. Her small sister Maria was bridesmaid.

& is my very efficient & attentive factotum. -

Lockyer had asked me to write something about
H₂. I shall do it badly for want of access to
materials - but you would help ^{me} if you could.
Kindly tell me 2 things - 1. Is Euche's division
on Ring A ever visible in your grand reflector?
2. ~~Does it appear in Foucault's figure in
Harvard Journal Vol VIII~~ Is anything known
of the magnificent working of the Nice achrom.
as regards H₂? What, by the way, is the pro-
per designation of that instrument? Do you
happen to know its dimensions, or who made,
or gave it? I never see the "Observatory"
& am therefore behind in many things, &
likely enough to write "bosh." -

The young girls you mention did "tice" me
to show them H₂ & very fairly he shewed him-
self - but not quite Euche. -

I have plenty in hand - & better so, I be-
lieve - & GOD is very good to me in sending me
many sympathising friends in my great sorrow
Even dearest Arthur

Your very affectionate friend

J. W. Webb

I sh^d have explained that it is the confusion