

living somewhere at Dalston - the widow of
a Cab Proprietor, ^{was} stupid enough to marry
a Cab Driver - having previously made a
will in favour of her relations - one of
whom - a married Niece (and great fidget)
is my parishioner. She dies - half-a-year
ago - after much disagreement with said Cab
Driver Husband No. 2, - it is supposed, be-
cause she w^d not let him finger said property.
However, dead she is - & her niece is getting
anxious, because nothing has been heard a-
bout the will - & she seems to have some
rustic apprehensions that thro' said Cabman's
influence something wrong has been, is, or
may yet be, done. So she comes to me, with
a request that I would write to a certain Law-
yer (Mr. Tho. Watson 13 Tinsbury Place
(it looks so but may be meant for Tinsbury)
South City, London.) who, she believes,
made the will - and fancies he may be
Exon - ~~but I tell her~~ She has actually had

search made (rustics are not always so gauche
as they are supposed) in Hereford & Doctors
Common - but no such will has been proved.
I tell her she need not fidget - there is time
enough. But still I don't like to refuse to
write, according to her wish, to said Lawyer
Watson, to know what has become of her
share in the property - which by the way is
believed to be in Houses, in or near London.
So I venture to trouble you once more with
the enquiry, whether, all things considered,
it is best to write to said Lawyer & enquire,
or to let things alone for the present. Please
don't inconvenience yourself with the reply - for
tho' I undertook to get advice as to this, from
a friend, I only engaged ^{to hear it before} ~~for a~~ a fort-
night - & next week we are going from home.
The will has evidently not been proved yet
but I believe the law is less stringent about
this than formerly - & anyhow we need not