

as he leaves all the business - the "menumtal"
part of it included - to a common land-agent.

When quite convenient - but please don't write
on purpose, I want to know whether a mistake I
made the other day will have any injurious effect.

I added a Codicil to the will of a poor person, &
got her, with much difficulty & worry, to sign it -
she was actually going to leave out the last syllable
of her name! With all this botheration I quite for-
got to make her declare it as her Codicil though
all care was taken in other respects with the attes-
tation. Is this of any importance? The will

affects a Cottage & bit of ground - & leaves the house-
hold stuff to be divided - which portion only the Codicil
revokes & gives all ~~the~~ personal effects to one.

It was very stupid in me: but very probably I
don't mend with age.

My wife's kind love - she is very sorry you could not
come to see us - she would very much like to see
you again.

Your very affectionate & grateful old friend

Deffo! Mae Dydd!

169

3 Feb. 1876.

Hurdwick Vicarage,
Hag, R.S.O.

My dearest Arthur

My very best thanks are due for your
most kind letter. I have in consequence
written to W. Penoyre, as the Trustee in posses-
sion, quoting your opinion as that of a Chancery
Barister, but not mentioning your name. I
have carefully avoided cantankerousness, & been
very civil indeed, & put all the mistake on Ap-
penby the land agent, who marked the Trees. -
Whether we shall have a fight I don't know. I
can't believe it, with your very clear & decided
opinion - but till the affair is ended, perhaps you
will do me the favour to detain the Will in
your careful custody. I have no confidence in
the kindly feelings of the parties, though we are
all "as civil as bricks". Penoyre is offended with
me because my wife does not call upon him - Allen