

was to make the Speaker show his colours.

Don't you see - if he answers he has  
committed himself in writing! - If  
not, then comes a lawyer's letter with  
much more propriety in consequence of  
his refusal to answer. We think there-  
fore that we have advised rightly, <sup>as well as</sup> ~~and~~  
according to the wish of himself. -

I don't think any answer will come be-  
fore we start - but there's no hurry. We  
are in the state you waggers - but  
there is one very beautiful ray of light in  
the rapid advancement of Veitch. -

By the way w<sup>d</sup> it give you much trouble  
just to look at Rushworth in your

Library & send me a card to put one  
with points straight? I find in a proof  
sheet just looked over, under the head of  
the comradeship (if there is such a word) of  
Lieut. Col. (afterwards Sir Ralph, & ultimately  
Lord) Hopton - and Sir William Waller,  
that they were both in 1624, when Mansfield  
raised an army ~~for foreign service~~ in England  
for foreign service, in Col. Sir C. Rich's  
4<sup>th</sup> Regt. of foot. - So far well. But  
the reference, "Rushworth, Hist. Coll.  
p. 153," is not nice, because the part,  
& the volume, are omitted. I think to  
hope, as the year 1624, the page 153,  
and the subject matter of ~~Hopton~~ Col.  
Rich's Regiment are so clearly given, it