MULTIPLICITY AMONG SOLAR-TYPE STARS

HELMUT A. ABT AND SAUL G. LEVY Kitt Peak National Observatory* Received 1975 April 14; revised 1975 June 13

ABSTRACT

A search has been made for spectroscopic binaries among 135 F3–G2 IV or V bright field stars. As a result of measuring 20 coudé radial velocities per star, we derived orbital elements for 25 newly discovered spectroscopic binaries. These data are combined with known orbital elements for 21 spectroscopic binaries, orbital elements for 23 visual binaries, and data for 25 common-propermotion pairs. The observed frequencies of singles:doubles:triples:quadruples are 42:46:9:2 percent. The period distribution for the 88 periods has a single maximum, a large overlap between spectroscopic and visual pairs, and a median period of 14 years. Use of four-color and H β data shows no correlation between duplicity and age, or any strong decrease in duplicity rate toward lower masses.

It was found to be possible to estimate rather well the number of binaries not revealed by this study. The incompleteness study was based on seven reasonable assumptions and leads to the result that there are really 1.4 companions for each primary star, on the average. This result implies that single stars are rare.

It is also possible to determine statistically the secondary masses for both the observed and undetected binaries. These masses show that for binary periods less than about 100 years, the frequency of secondary masses varies as $\mathfrak{M}_2^{1/3}$, which is a marked departure from the van Rhijn distribution for single stars. However, for periods greater than 100 years, the frequency of various secondary masses fits the van Rhijn function within our accuracy, which is lower in this case than for the shorter periods. We conclude that there are two types of binaries: those with the shorter periods are fission systems in which a single protostar subdivided because of excessive angular momentum, whereas the longer periods represent pairs of protostars that contracted separately but are gravitationally held to each other. The dividing period of 100 years is such that if two solar masses were distributed over the corresponding volume, the mean density would agree with that assumed for the solar nebula at the time of planetary differentiation.

We find that two-thirds of the primary stars have stellar companions. If we assume that the cube-root mass function for periods less than 100 years holds for degenerate stars and planets $(<0.07 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot})$ too, we find that one-third of the primaries have nonstellar companions, i.e., all the primaries are fission systems. This tentative conclusion seems reasonable in that it solves the problem of excess angular momentum in the contraction of a protostar from an interstellar cloud. In addition, about 72 percent of the primaries have distant (P > 100 years) companions. Perhaps the distant companions are themselves fission doubles for the same reasons that the primaries are double. We conclude that perhaps all primaries in the range F3-G2 IV, V are either doubles (with nearby stellar or degenerate companions) or quadruples (in at least 72 percent of the cases) with a distant pair that resulted from a separate protostar.

The total mass in the companions is just half of the total mass in the primaries. On the average, the multiple systems are 0.22 mag brighter than the primaries alone.

Subject headings: stars: binaries — stars: formation

I. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of the fraction of stars that are multiple has a bearing on various matters, such as (1) the frequency of formation of multiple systems with various numbers of components; (2) the frequency of planetary systems; (3) the frequency with which stellar evolution is affected by the presence of a companion; (4) the distribution of angular momentum in multiple systems; (5) a possible systematic luminosity difference between real stars, many of which have companions,

* Operated by AURA, Inc., under contract with the NSF.

and models computed for single stars; and (6) the affect that duplicity has upon the other observed characteristics of stars, such as surface composition, rotation rates, and mass loss. We have learned that certain special classes of stars have very high frequencies of duplicity (e.g., novae, Am stars, and perhaps Wolf-Rayet stars), while other classes have very low binary frequencies (high-velocity dwarfs, supergiants, Be stars, and late A-type dwarfs).

The present study is one of several that treat a specific section of the main sequence. It was deemed necessary because (1) previous studies (Luyten 1930;

..

/

Table l

Radial Velocities

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Helio. JD 2400000+	Rad. Vel. & Prob. Error	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. 2400000+ Prob. Erro	& Helio. JD r 2400000+	Rad. Vel. & Prob. Error	Helio. JD 2400000+	Rad. Vel. & Prob. Error
	(km sec ⁻¹)	(km sec ⁻¹)		(km sec ⁻¹)		(km sec ⁻¹)
$\frac{\text{HR } 33}{40519.696}$	<u>6 Cet</u> +15.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	41203.889 +10.5 <u>+</u> 0. 41204.902 + 9.5 0.	4 <u>HR</u> 3 39067.759	<u>244</u> +23.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	41553.963	-11.2 <u>+</u> 2.0
40526.673 40549.627	+16.1 0.4 +16.7 0.4	HR 225 = 64 Psc	39068.719	+22.9 0.2 +21.5 0.2	<u>417</u> 39068.757	$\frac{= \omega \text{ And}}{+15.9}$ 1.5
40550.611 40817.900	+18.1 0.5 +17.0 0.2	40052.914 -56.7 0. +69.7 0.	9 40519.724 9 40526.701	+20.1 0.3 +21.3 0.3	40519.745	+17.1 1.6 +15.7 1.5
40872.746	+15.2 0.4 +16.6 0.4	40519.714 + 5.3 0. 40526.690 + 6.1 0.	4 40549.694 4 40550.655	+22.9 0.4 +22.6 0.5	40526./22 40549.720	+17.8 1.1
40874.726	+15.6 0.3	40549.676 -35.3 1.	1 40758.944	+20.7 0.4	40550.686	+17.8 0.7
41175.945	+19.5 0.6	40550.635 -59.1 0.	8 40872.778	+21.8 0.4	40738.333	+12.2 1.5
41193.928 41201.875	+17.2 0.2 +19.1 0.4	+69.4 0. 40817.912 +36.5 0.	8 40873.785 9 40874.753	+21.1 0.5 +24.1 0.3	40872.798 40873.804	+13.8 2.3
41202.790	+18.8 0.4	-24.4].	5 40900.798	+20.5 0.5 +23 1 0 5	40874.773	+10.4 0.8
41204.874	+17.2 0.5	-17.6 1.	7 41193.946	+23.1 0.3	41175.998	+11.1 1.0
41525.902 41551.949	+17.9 0.8 +17.9 0.5	40873.771 -34.8 1. +41.7 0.	2 41194.966 9 41201.945	+22.9 0.2 +23.9 0.4	41193.953 41194.983	+14.1 1.6
41552.908	+17.0 1.1	40874.741 -36.4 1. +49 4 0	4 41202.903	+25.0 0.3 +22.9 0.3	41201.928	+16.1 0.8
HD 00	And	40900.794 +31.6 0.	8 41204.921	+22.9 0.3	41203.922	+15.9 1.1
39068.686	$\frac{\rho}{+16.0}$ 1.3	41175.966 + 6.2 0.	4 <u>HR 366</u>	<u>5 = 37 Cet</u>	41313.609	+14.6 1.1
39097.707 40052.900	+14.0 0.6 +10.9 1.3	41193.974 -35.0 1. +51.9 1.	4 39068.732 0 39097.691	+25.5 0.2 +22.6 0.3	HR 458	=υ And
40519.701	+10.4 0.9 +14 1 0.8	41194.956 -33.5 1.	6 40519.732	2 +22.2 0.5 3 +22.9 0.4	39068.766	-28.3 0.4 -28.9 0.3
40549.662	+15.2 1.0	41201.896 -51.1 0.	9 40549.703	+24.7 0.4	40519.749	-29.8 0.3
40550.622	+14.7 0.7 + 8.7 1.4	41202.822 -21.4 0.	8 40817.924	+25.2 0.3	40520.729	-27.8 0.5
40817.901 40872.754	+11.5 1.4 +13.3 1.1	+34.7 1. 41203.899 + 6.8 0.	5 40872.786 4 40873.793	5 + 22.1 0.4 3 + 21.8 0.4	40550.693 40758.973	-26.9 0.5 -28.0 0.4
40873.758	+12.9 1.1	41204.891 +33.9 0.	8 40874.762	2 + 24.3 0.4 +23 0 0 5	40817.930	-27.3 0.4
40900.785	+13.6 1.1	41525.893 -28.4 1.	4 41175.988	3 +23.2 0.4	40873.810	-28.8 0.2
411/5.952 41193.934	+15.0 1.4 +12.0 1.1	41551.957 -31.5 1.	6 41201.912	+24.4 0.3 +24.8 0.4	40874.777	-28.3 0.5
41194.935	+13.8 0.7	+49.7 2. 41552.910 -33.2 1.	3 41203.917	7 +24.0 0.6 +24.1 0.4	41176.004 41193.957	-25.3 0.5 -26.2 0.3
41202.801	+17.0 1.4	+49.9 1.	8 41525.997	7 + 23.6 0.7	41194.975	-26.8 0.3
41204.899	+12.1 0.9	$\frac{\text{HR } 235 = \phi^2 \text{ Cet}}{\text{Cet}}$	41552.920	+24.3 0.8	41202.887	-24.2 0.3
<u>HR 219</u>	<u>= η Cas</u>	40052.924 +10.2 0.	8	3 +20.0 1.0	41203.946	-26.5 0.3
39067.718 39068.693	+ 9.5 0.3 +10.3 0.3	40519.719 + 8.7 0. 40526.695 + 7.6 0.	1 <u>HR 413</u> 3 39068.746	$\frac{3 = \rho Psc}{5 - 9.2}$ 1.7	41313.615	-28.3 0.3
39097.718	+ 8.6 0.3 + 9.6 0.8	40549.684 +10.6 0.	4 39067.762	2 + 1.2 2.0	HR 39068.775	<u>483</u> + 5.8 0.4
40519.707	+ 6.5 0.4	40817.917 +21.6 0.	5 40526.71	7 - 6.9 2.7	39097.799	+ 4.8 0.3
40526.684	+ 8.2 0.4	40872.773 + 8.8 0.	4 40550.67	7 + 2.0 1.3	40526.737	+ 4.2 0.4
40550.628 40552.717	+10.9 0.4 + 9.1 0.4	408/4./48 + 11.2 0. 40900.779 + 9.8 0.	4 40817.919 5 40872.793	9 - 9.8 1.3 3 - 8.9 1.5	40549./34	+ 6.1 0.4 + 5.9 0.3
40758.948	+ 9.7 0.5 +10.5 0.5	41175.974 +10.0 0.	3 40873.799	9 -11.1 1.8 -9.3 3.0	40758.964	+ 3.2 0.4 + 4.7 0.3
40872.760	+ 9.2 0.4	41202.836 +10.7 0.	4 40900.876	5 - 2.2 2.0	40872.808	+1.90.3
40873.783	+ 9.5 0.4 + 8.4 0.4	41203.908 + 9.8 0.	1 41201.92	3 - 7.0 1.9	40873.814	+ 3.3 0.4 + 3.3 0.2
40900.788 41175.958	+ 8.5 0.6 +11.7 0.5	41525.905 +10.5 0. 41551.951 +12.4 0.	8 41202.87 6 41203.958	7 - 6.9 2.1 3 - 7.2 2.0	40900.885 41193.964	+ 4.3 0.4 + 7.7 0.1
41193.940	+10.2 0.1	41552.915 +10.7 0.	8 41204.95	1 - 8.9 1.6 - 9.6 21	41194.991	+ 6.0 0.3 + 7.5 0.3
41201.888	+13.0 0.3	41580.917 +10.7 <u>+</u> 0.	5 41551.959		41202.894	+7.00.5 +61+03
71202.011	13.0 ±0.3	1	1 71332.92.	-0.0 - 1.0	TILU0.333	

Table] (continued)

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41233.958 +28.0 +0.5 41235.910 +27.6 0.6 41262.873 +25.7 0.3 41323.712 +25.8 0.4	40900.937 +49.4 +0.5 40901.850 +50.6 0.5 41201.966 +53.9 0.3 41202.984 +52.4 0.3
$\frac{HK}{39068.786} = \frac{\alpha}{33.1} = 2.6$ $39127.655 = -35.5 = 4.4$ $40519.786 = -15.9 = 2.8$ $40526.744 = -21.4 = 3.1$	$\frac{\text{HR } 781 = \varepsilon \text{ Cet}}{40197.834 + 17.1 } 0.5$ 40198.807 + 15.6 0.5 40518.826 + 18.8 0.5	$\frac{\text{HR } 855 = 20 \text{ Per}}{40197.871 + 6.2 1.7}$ $40198.848 + 7.2 3.2$	41205.018 +52.6 0.3 41233.982 +52.2 0.2 41262.905 +51.5 0.2 41313.647 +49.5 0.5 41316.713 +52.1 0.4
40549.740 -30.1 2.3 40550.704 - 1.8 2.0 40817.937 -12.3 2.6 40872.814 -30.7 2.0 40873.820 -12.0 2.9	40519.804 +17.9 0.4 40526.768 +17.9 0.6 40549.763 +18.5 0.5 40550.729 +18.2 0.4 40817.948 +16.0 0.6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{\text{HR } 962 = 94 \text{ Cet}}{39067.904 + 27.6 0.4}$ 39127.769 + 25.9 0.3 40197.901 + 20.4 0.5
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	40872.832 +13.9 0.5 40873.842 +13.5 0.5 40874.836 +14.8 0.4 40900.904 +14.3 0.5 41202.980 +17.0 0.3	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
41202.911 -24.8 2.0 41203.950 -17.5 2.7 41204.945 -15.1 2.2 41551.962 -22.0 3.0 41552.925 -13.8 3.6	41203.996 +19.0 0.4 41205.002 +17.9 0.3 41233.954 +16.8 0.4 41235.914 +17.5 0.4 41262.877 +16.1 0.5	41204.977 + 1.0 2.0 41233.978 + 2.9 2.2 41234.974 - 0.2 2.1 41262.899 + 5.9 2.2 41313.642 + 8.7 1.9	40873.879 +20.2 0.2 40874.881 +20.1 0.4 40900.945 +18.6 0.6 40901.825 +20.3 0.5 41202.993 +21.1 0.4
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	39127.711 +24.5 0.3 40197.851 +24.8 0.3 40198.827 +24.1 0.5 40518.836 +25.2 0.5 40519.813 +24.0 0.3	39067.873 +19.3 0.1 39068.869 +15.4 0.2 39127.737 +17.9 0.4 40197.881 +10.0 0.6 40198.862 + 9.2 0.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	40526.773 +25.3 0.3 40549.776 +27.2 0.5 40550.743 +26.4 0.4 40758.968 +24.5 0.5 40817 953 +26 8 0.4	40518.874 + 5.4 0.7 40519.862 + 4.5 0.6 40817.966 + 5.2 0.4 40872.864 + 4.3 0.4 40873.864 + 5.0 0.6	40198.898 +28.0 0.2 40518.888 +28.2 0.3 40519.878 +26.4 0.4 40817.975 +33.5 0.2 40872.879 +28.6 0.3
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	40872.846 +25.1 0.3 40873.851 +25.6 0.2 40874.850 +25.7 0.3 40900.912 +24.9 0.6	40874.869 + 3.4 0.6 40900.931 + 5.3 0.6 40901.838 + 4.4 0.6 41202.963 +10.0 0.5	$\begin{array}{c} 40872.884 \\ 40873.884 \\ +29.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 40874.886 \\ +31.1 \\ 0.3 \\ 40900.953 \\ +29.5 \\ 0.6 \\ 40901.832 \\ +29.3 \\ 0.5 \\ \end{array}$
41204.959 + 7.5 0.6 41233.964 + 8.0 0.6 41262.883 + 6.4 0.5 41323.699 + 5.0 0.4	41195.014 +27.8 0.3 41202.935 +28.9 0.5 41203.978 +27.3 0.3 41204.970 +27.4 0.3 41233.974 +27.7 0.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\frac{\text{HR} \ 660 = \delta \ \text{Tri}}{39068.831 + 0.8} \ 0.3$ $39127.686 - 2.3 \ 0.2$ $40518.821 - 14.7 \ 0.4$ $40519.798 - 10.5 \ 0.3$	$\frac{\text{HR 818} = \tau^{1} \text{ Eri}}{40197.859 + 31.4 0.5}$ 40198.837 +27.6 0.4 40518.842 +28.7 0.3	41316.702 +10.7 0.5 41951.896 +15.2 0.8 41952.902 +13.8 0.8 41957.882 +14.2 0.9 41958.936 +13.3 1.2	41316.717 +29.6 0.3 41323.721 +27.5 0.3 41340.736 +26.8 0.5 41341.725 +27.9 0.3 41551.967 +31.7 0.4
40526.761 -14.0 0.4 40549.756 - 9.6 0.4 40550.722 - 3.3 0.3 40758.983 -15.7 0.4 40817.938 -14.9 0.5	40519.818 +29.7 0.2 40549.810 +30.9 0.6 40550.748 +31.0 0.5 40817.964 +33.8 0.3 40872.851 +31.0 0.4	$\frac{\text{HR } 937 = 1 \text{ Per}}{39067.890 + 50.5 0.3}$ $39068.857 + 50.1 0.4$ $39127.718 + 49.5 0.4$	<u>HR 1129</u> 39551.643 - 7.6 0.3 40197.921 -19.8 1.3 40198.911 -19.6 1.5
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	408/3.856 +30.8 0.3 40874.855 +30.8 0.5 40900.916 +31.6 0.5 40901.798 +31.4 0.6 40901.801 +31.0 0.6	40197.891 +49.2 0.2 40198.873 +48.5 0.4 40518.878 +49.7 0.4 40519.867 +49.2 0.2 40817.955 +52.8 0.3	40518.891 -14.0 1.0 40519.883 -13.5 1.0 40817.980 - 7.0 0.9 40872.882 - 9.9 0.8 40873.889 -10.5 0.8
41202.972 + 2.7 0.4 41204.003 + 6.4 0.3 41204.985 + 5.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	41202.943 +29.4 0.6 41203.986 +28.3 0.5 41205.008 +27.6 +0.7	40872.868 +50.6 0.5 40873.873 +50.4 0.4 40874.874 +51.1 +0.4	40874.892 - 7.3 0.8 40900.957 - 8.4 1.1 40901.855 - 8.6 ±1.1

Table 1 (continued)

Helio. JD Rad. ∛el. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{r} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	$\frac{\text{HR} \ 1306 \ = \ 52 \ \text{Per}}{39551.690 \ - \ 1.5 \ \pm 0.3} \\ 40518.942 \ -19.3 \ 0.7 \\ 40519.926 \ -19.1 \ 0.6 \\ 40526.919 \ -18.6 \ 0.6 \\ 40615.710 \ -14.8 \ 0.9 \\ 40817.995 \ - \ 8.4 \ 0.7 \\ 40872.928 \ - \ 8.8 \ 0.6 \\ 40673 \ - \ 9.6 \ 0.7 \\ 40872.928 \ - \ 8.8 \ 0.6 \ 0.6 \\ 40872.928 \ - \ 8.8 \ 0.6 \ 0.6 \\ 40872.928 \ - \ 8.8 \ 0.6 \ 0.6 \\ 40872.928 \ - \ 8.8 \ 0.6 \ 0.6 \\ 40872.928 \ - \ 8.8 \ 0.6 \ 0$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\frac{\text{HR } 1210 = 43 \text{ Per}}{39067.934 + 26.4 0.3}$ $\frac{39551.658 + 23.1 0.3}{40197.930 + 25.6 0.6}$ $\frac{40198.926 + 26.4 1.0}{40518.905 + 10.7 0.2}$ $\frac{43.6 1.2}{40519.891 + 8.9 1.2}$ $\frac{40519.891 + 8.9 1.2}{+42.2 1.5}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\frac{\text{HR}}{40280.597} = \frac{68}{11.0} \text{ Cm}^{2}$ $\frac{40281.589}{40281.589} + 9.7 0.2$ $\frac{40518.975}{40519.941} + 9.4 0.4$ $\frac{40526.937}{40872.954} + 10.3 0.5$ $\frac{40873.946}{40573.946} + 9.4 0.5$ $\frac{40874.956}{40874.956} + 9.9 0.3$ $\frac{40901.030}{40901.934} + 10.5 0.5$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} HR & 1257 \\ \hline HR & 1257 \\ \hline 40518.927 & -18.5 & 0.6 \\ \hline 40519.910 & -19.2 & 0.4 \\ \hline 40818.011 & -15.9 & 0.4 \\ \hline 40872.912 & -18.5 & 0.3 \\ \hline 40873.918 & -18.2 & 0.4 \\ \hline 40874.921 & -17.8 & 0.1 \\ \hline 40900.994 & -18.7 & 0.6 \\ \hline 40901.914 & -18.1 & 0.6 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{\text{HR } 1278 = 50 \text{ Per}}{39067.953 + 29.9} 0.5$ 39551.674 + 24.3 0.2 40518.935 + 26.1 0.4 40519.917 + 25.9 0.4 40526.911 + 27.1 0.4 40817.990 + 29.2 0.4 40872.920 + 27.0 0.3 40873.925 + 25.3 0.4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 11111200 \\ 1111000 \\ 11110000 \\ 11110000 \\ 1110000 \\ 1110000 \\ 1110000 \\ 1110000 \\ 111000$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

lable (Continueu)	able] (o	continued)
---------------------	-----------	-----------	---

			(contrinueu)	
Helio. JD 2400000+	Rad. Vel. & Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
40901.044 40901.964 41263.016 41313.733 41323.766 41340.816 41341.764 41347.798 41404.651	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{r} HR \ 1780\\ 39551.707\\ 39552.673\\ 40280.648\\ 40281.623\\ 40518.998\\ 40519.962\\ 40526.958\\ 40549.877\\ 40550.951\\ 40872.971\\ 40873.952\\ 40874.964\\ 40901.943\\ 41044.602\\ 41045.621\\ 41046.625\\ 41047.641\\ 41048.594 \end{array}$	= 111 Tau +38.3 0.2 +35.3 0.2 +39.2 0.3 +37.4 0.2 +37.5 0.5 +38.2 0.4 +37.6 0.5 +40.6 0.4 +39.4 0.4 +39.4 0.4 +38.8 0.5 +38.3 0.4 +37.6 0.3 +39.1 0.7 +38.4 0.6 +36.0 0.6 +36.5 0.5 +37.6 0.6	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
41263.022 41313.742 41340.793 <u>HR 2047</u> 39127.791	$+39.4 0.3+39.0 0.4+38.1 0.5= \chi^1 \text{ Ori}- 8.7 0.5$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	41044.636 +31.8 1.5 41045.671 +25.3 1.6 41046.689 +26.6 1.2 41047.703 +26.9 1.6 41048.647 +28.2 1.6	$\frac{41047.709}{41048.653} - \frac{27.5}{28.5} 0.5$ $\frac{1048.653}{28.5} - \frac{28.5}{0.4}$ $\frac{11048.653}{39127.951} - \frac{3.7}{3.7} 0.8$ $\frac{39127.953}{39127.953} - \frac{4.9}{0.6}$
39551.719 39908.680 40281.633 40496.953 40519.902 40519.965 40526.963 40550.957 40872.982 40873.956 40874.969 40901.947 41044.609 41045.629 41046.633 41047.650	$\begin{array}{cccccc} -12.9 & 0.3 \\ -9.8 & 0.8 \\ -11.3 & 0.2 \\ -11.2 & 0.4 \\ -12.8 & 0.3 \\ -12.6 & 0.5 \\ -12.8 & 0.3 \\ -10.4 & 0.5 \\ -11.4 & 0.4 \\ -12.7 & 0.5 \\ -12.3 & 0.4 \\ -12.7 & 0.5 \\ -12.2 & 0.6 \\ -13.6 & 0.6 \\ -14.4 & 0.5 \\ -14.4 & 0.5 \\ -15.4 & 0.5 \\ -14.4$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} & \frac{\text{HR}}{2846} = 63 \text{ Gem} \\ 39157.837 + 106.8 1.6 \\ 39160.886 - 0.7 1.2 \\ 39185.796 - 53.0 1.3 \\ 39551.765 + 100.9 1.0 \\ & -102.1 2.8 \\ 39908.747 - 22.9 1.2 \\ & +93.5 2.7 \\ 40197.986 - 3.4 1.3 \\ & +47.1 1.7 \\ 40198.985 + 59.9 1.8 \\ & -21.8 2.0 \\ 40280.721 + 90.3 0.8 \\ & -69.4 2.4 \\ 40281.721 - 53.7 0.8 \\ & +82.8 2.8 \\ 40519.045 - 61.8 1.0 \\ & +139 4 3 6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
41048.601 41263.028 <u>HR 2220</u> 39127.807 39551.734 39908.691 40281.642 40519.070 40526.969 40549.890 40550.963	$\begin{array}{r} -14.3 & 0.4 \\ -13.7 & 0.3 \\ \hline \\ +34.9 & 0.5 \\ +34.0 & 0.2 \\ +35.0 & 0.7 \\ +34.5 & 0.3 \\ +34.6 & 0.4 \\ +33.9 & 0.4 \\ +35.4 & 0.6 \\ +37.1 & 0.4 \\ +35.8 & \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} +139.4 & 3.6 \\ 40520.007 & +114.7 & 1.0 \\ & -77.9 & 3.1 \\ 40526.997 & -68.1 & 1.0 \\ & +140.9 & 3.8 \\ 40549.928 & -54.1 & 0.8 \\ & +143.6 & 2.7 \\ 40551.008 & +125.9 & 0.6 \\ & -81.7 & 3.3 \\ 40873.020 & -60.2 & 1.0 \\ & +137.2 & 2.8 \\ 40873.975 & +118.2 & 1.1 \\ & -79.0 & +3.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^{\odot}}$ American Astronomical Society $\ \bullet$ Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

Table 1 (continued)

Helio. JD	Rad. Vel. &	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. &	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. &	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. &
2400000+	(km sec-1)	2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec=1)	$2400000+$ Prob. Error $(km sec^{-1})$	(km sec-1)
	(KIII 300)	(Kiii 300)	(Kiii 300)	(Kiii 300 y
40702.615	- 4.4 <u>+</u> 0.6	41316.956 -36.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	41047.776 - 2.1 <u>+</u> 0.4	41048.773 - 9.5 <u>+</u> 0.4
40702.622	- 4.0 0.6	41341.790 -36.0 0.4	41048.726 - 3.2 0.6	41315.966 - 6.4 0.4
40702.625	- 3.6 0.5	41347.821 -34.4 0.5	41313.881 - 1.7 0.4	41341.916 - 8.9 0.5
40702.628	- 3.6 0.6		41315.930 - 0.9 0.4	4 34/.96/ - 8.4 0.5
408/3.03/	- 5.8 0.4	$\frac{HK}{20157} \frac{3262}{975} = \chi CHC}{157}$	41341.920 - 2.3 0.4	413/3.83/ ~ /.1 0.5
408/3.990	- 3.8 0.4	39157.875 + 33.1 + 0.01	41347.828 - 0.7 0.5	41374.839 - 8.9 0.4
40875.000	- 3.8 0.3	39277 639 +30 9 0 6	HR 3648 = 16 IIMa	413/3.884 - 9.1 0.3
40967.799	- 3.5 0.2	39908.816 +33.2 0.7	39160.937 - 47.7 0.4	HR 3759 = τ^{1} Hva
40968.961	- 4.9 0.6	39929.737 +33.1 0.5	39277.669 -16.2 0.5	39127.927 + 8.9 0.5
41045.692	- 0.7 0.8	40198.041 +32.2 0.3	39551.932 -33.8 0.2	39160.952 +11.2 0.8
41046.703	- 5.7 0.7	40199.036 +32.1 0.5	39908.841 -36.9 0.6	39277.656 + 8.7 0.4
41047.722	- 6.5 0.5	40520.039 +33.2 0.5	39909.926 -23.8 0.7	40550.020 + 8.9 0.5
41048.662	- 5.9 0.5	40527.029 +32.5 0.3	39966.656 + 3.1 1.1	40577.998 +12.4 0.8
41202.994	5.4 0.4		40198.062 -50.4 0.3	405/8.9/3 +11.0 1.0
41313.827	- 4.5 0.7	40551.057 + 33.0 + 34.2 + 64.051	40199.059 - 51.7 0.3	405/9.943 +12.5 0.8
41313.314	- 4 2 0 4	41044 685 + 32 4 0 4	40527.050 - 24.4 0.5 40549 989 + 15 7 0 4	40015.885 + 12.4 + 0.0
41347.814	- 3.5 0.5	41045.716 +31.8 0.5	40613.854 +21.4 0.4	41044.747 +10.5 0.5
41373.816	- 6.8 0.7	41046.734 +32.8 0.4	40614.888 +15.2 0.4	41045.766 + 9.5 0.8
41374.820	. 6.0 0.4	41047.759 +32.1 0.4	40615.846 +10.1 0.6	41046.781 + 9.3 0.6
41404.674	- 5.0 0.4	41048.701 +31.3 0.5	40638.922 -45.1 0.6	41047.816 + 9.1 0.7
41441.610	- 2.4 0.5	41313.842 +36.2 0.4	41044.712 -46.4 0.5	41048.763 + 9.0 0.8
	0 D	41315.927 +35.5 0.5	41045.733 -37.9 0.5	41315.970 +11.2 0.6
HR 3064	= 9 Pup	41316.964 +33.4 0.5	41046./56 -22.8 0.4	41341.801 +10.6 0.7
39100.000	-21.4 1.1	HP 3579	41047.760 - 11.6 0.5	41347.959 + 9.0 0.5 41371 826 + 10 5 1 3
39908.784	-16.6 0.5	39157.886 + 27.8 0.5	41313.889 + 12.0 0.4	41371.828 + 9.2 0.6
39929.716	-19.7 0.9	39160.928 +29.2 0.3	41315.937 -14.7 0.4	41371.830 +10.3 0.9
40198.017	-21.1 0.4	39277.648 +23.8 0.6		41373.882 + 9.8 0.6
40199.011	-20.1 0.4	39551.861 +27.7 0.6	<u>HR 3750</u>	41374.827 +10.4 0.7
40281.748	-20.7 0.3	39908.823 +31.0 0.7	39903.813 +52.1 0.4	41375.869 + 9.1 0.6
40520.025	-20.0 0.2	39929.744 + 29.6 0.5	39908.862 +56.2 0.6	UD 2771 - 24 UM-
40527.014	-20.6 0.4	40198.046 + 29.5 0.4	39909.960 +54.4 0.7	$\frac{HK}{30020} \frac{3771}{833} = \frac{24}{26} \frac{0Ma}{0}$
40552 961	-18.4 0.3	40520.043 + 30.4 0.4	40577 983 +59.3 0.6	40550 028 -25 5 0 4
40551.032	-20.2 0.3	40527.039 +30.1 0.1	40578.959 +57.9 0.6	40578.004 -24.6 0.6
40615.794	-19.5 0.5	40549.973 +32.5 0.6	40579.926 +60.0 0.6	40578.978 -23.9 0.6
40967.941	-19.3 0.4	40551.063 +32.5 0.6	40613.876 +58.3 0.6	40580.031 -24.2 0.8
41044.663	~20.4 0.6	40577.966 +33.8 0.9	40614.933 +55.9 0.5	40613.858 -24.8 0.4
41045.700	-22.4 0.8	40615.813 +33.2 0.6	40615.874 +57.0 0.6	40614.878 -26.8 0.4
41040.712	-19.9 0.4	40030.902 +31.0 0.0	41044.729 + 50.5 0.5 41045 748 + 54 1 0 4	40015.839 - 25.2 0.5
41048.674	-21.5 0.5	41044.691 + 31.0 0.5	41046.771 + 55.2 0.6	41044.753 - 26.2 0.6
41315.918	-20.3 0.5	41045.721 +28.9 0.6	41047.805 +54.7 0.6	41045.770 -28.6 0.5
41340.838	-20.0 0.5	41046.742 +30.6 0.7	41048.751 +56.0 0.6	41047.837 -27.1 0.3
41341.780	-19.9 0.4	41047.770 +30.2 0.6	41315.960 +60.4 0.2	41048.780 -27.2 0.5
	0	41048.721 +30.0 0.5	41316.977 +56.1 0.3	41313.896 -25.9 0.2
30008 803	$\frac{= \mu \text{ cnc}}{-35 1 0 7}$	41313.847 +33.3 0.4	41341.795 +58.7 0.4	41315.941 - 24.1 0.4
39929 728	-38 6 0 7	HR 3616 = σ^2 IIMa	41347.951 +57.2 0.4	41341.920 -20.5 0.5
40198.033	-37.0 0.4	39908.829 - 0.3 0.6	41373.020 33.0 0.3	41373.945 - 25.5 0.5
40199.025	-37.4 0.3	39909.917 - 1.8 0.9	HR 3754 = ω Leo	41374.847 -26.2 0.3
40281.767	-37.6 0.2	39966.648 - 4.1 1.2	39908.888 - 8.0 0.8	41375.900 -26.2 0.5
40520.032	-35.7 0.2	40198.053 - 2.5 0.3	39929.824 - 9.1 0.5	
40527.023	-35.5 0.5	40199.049 - 1.7 0.5	40550.012 - 7.1 0.4	$\frac{HR}{100} \frac{3775}{100} = \theta UMa$
40549.960	- 35.1 0.4	40527.044 - 4.2 0.4	405//.992 - /./ 0.6	39160.959 +15.0 0.3
40615 803	-30.2 0.3	40049.900 - 2.1 0.3	405/8.90/ - /.8 0./	39551 868 ±15 0 0 2
41044.678	-37.6 0.5	40614.895 - 2.4 - 4	40613.882 - 7.7 0.6	39929 844 +15 1 0 6
41045.709	-38.6 0.7	40615.851 - 0.3 0.5	40614.954 - 9.5 0.6	40550.035 +15.0 0.5
41046.724	-36.6 0.3	40638.910 - 2.7 0.5	40615.883 - 9.4 0.5	40578.008 +16.1 0.7
41047.748	-37.0 0.4	40967.965 - 2.0 0.6	41044.739 - 8.1 0.5	40578.983 +18.2 0.8
41048.691	-37.5 0.4	41044.697 - 1.1 0.5	41045.758 -10.0 0.5	40579.960 +17.2 0.7
41313.834		4 045.725 - 4.5 0.6	41046.793 - 8.9 0.4	40613.863 +17.6 0.5
41313.923	-34.4 <u>+</u> U.6	1 41040.740 - 1.2 <u>+</u> 0.6	41047.827 - 8.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	40014.8/3 + 15.2 + 0.5

Table 1 (continued)

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{c} & \text{HR} & 3881 \\ 39160. 972 & + 4.2 & 0.5 \\ 39276.705 & + 5.0 & 0.2 \\ 39277.687 & + 1.1 & 0.4 \\ 39551.879 & + 4.7 & 0.3 \\ 40281.783 & + 4.8 & 0.3 \\ 40281.783 & + 4.8 & 0.3 \\ 40550.040 & + 6.6 & 0.3 \\ 40578.012 & + 5.7 & 0.6 \\ 40578.988 & + 7.6 & 0.6 \\ 40579.965 & + 6.4 & 0.6 \\ 40613.866 & + 7.3 & 0.6 \\ 40614.869 & + 5.0 & 0.4 \\ 40615.818 & + 6.4 & 0.6 \\ 41044.769 & + 5.7 & 0.5 \\ 41045.780 & + 4.4 & 0.5 \\ 41045.780 & + 4.2 & 0.4 \\ 41048.796 & + 4.9 & 0.4 \\ 41313.905 & + 6.2 & 0.5 \\ 41341.954 & + 6.4 & 0.4 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{HR}}{39160.999} + \frac{3.4}{3.4} + \frac{40}{39276.658} + \frac{5.3}{5.3} + \frac{5.3}{39290.671} + \frac{6.6}{6.6} + \frac{5.3}{5.3} + \frac{5.3}{5.5} + \frac{5.5}{5.5} + \frac{5.5}{5.$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} 41375.896 + 7.4 & 0.6 \\ 41404.683 + 6.3 & 0.5 \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} +1374.852 + 12.2 & 1.5 \\ +1375.906 + 11.5 & 1.8 \\ +1404.727 + 14.7 & 1.8 \\ +1440.750 + 7.3 & 1.5 \\ +1441.698 + 5.4 & 1.5 \\ \hline \\ \frac{\text{HR}}{39161.026} + 9.1 & 0.3 \\ 39551.998 + 8.1 & 0.1 \\ +0281.849 + 9.3 & 0.3 \\ +0578.043 + 9.7 & 0.5 \\ +0579.020 + 10.7 & 0.6 \\ +0579.993 + 10.9 & 0.6 \\ +0613.916 + 10.7 & 0.5 \\ +0615.934 + 9.9 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.934 + 9.8 & 0.6 \\ +10615.$	$\begin{array}{c} +1373.873 + +14.7 & 0.4 \\ +1375.921 + +12.4 & 0.4 \\ +1375.921 + +12.4 & 0.4 \\ +1404.700 + +11.6 & 0.2 \\ +1440.648 + +10.4 & 0.3 \\ +1440.651 + +11.4 & 0.4 \\ +1440.654 + +11.5 & 0.4 \\ \hline \\ \frac{\text{HR} \ 4395 = \lambda \ \text{Crt}}{39960.749} + 10.6 & 0.9 \\ 39960.749 + 10.6 & 0.9 \\ 39966.727 + 10.7 & 0.6 \\ 39987.681 + 9.7 & 0.8 \\ +0578.062 + +14.2 & 0.8 \\ +0578.062 + +14.2 & 0.8 \\ +0579.046 + +15.0 & 0.8 \\ +0580.008 + +14.4 & 0.9 \\ +0613.898 + +13.4 & 0.7 \\ +0613.898 + +13.4 & 0.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1 (continued)

Helio. JD Ra 2400000+ Pr (k	d. Vel. & ob. Error m sec-l)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{c} 2400000+ & \Pr \\ (k \\ \hline 39966.744 & + \\ 39987.705 & + \\ 40579.061 & + \\ 40579.061 & + \\ 40580.022 & + \\ 40609.040 & + \\ 40613.939 & + \\ 406615.960 & + \\ 40666.887 & + \\ 40666.887 & + \\ 40667.761 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 41025.921 & + \\ 40697.761 & + \\ 39290.689 & - \\ 39551.968 & - \\ 39551.968 & - \\ 39551.968 & - \\ 39551.968 & - \\ 39551.968 & - \\ 39960.777 & - \\ 39960.777 & - \\ 39966.749 & - \\ 40373.667 & - \\ 40374.672 & - \\ 40375.670 & - \\ 40613.954 & - \\ 40615.983 & - \\ 40666.897 & - \\ 40697.766 & - \\ 41025.928 & - \\ \end{array}$	ob. Error $m = ec^{-1}$) 3.0 ± 0.5 2.9 0.4 6.4 0.6 7.0 0.6 5.6 0.4 4.8 0.5 5.0 0.4 4.8 0.5 5.0 0.4 4.3 0.4 4.3 0.4 4.3 0.4 4.3 0.4 4.3 0.4 4.3 0.4 3.1 0.4 4.3 0.6 3.9 0.5 18 Com 47.7 5.3 58.0 4.3 51.5 3.9 27.0 2.2 32.5 2.9 44.6 3.1 27.6 2.2 38.5 2.9 41.8 4.0 23.8 6.8 32.8 3.3 36.0 2.3 36.0 2.3 45.1 3.1 44.1 3.4 43.3 2.7	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
41026.945 - 41027.824 - 41047.881 - BR 4785 = 39127.914 + 39247.846 + 39277.722 + 39903.953 + 39908.940 + 39909.990 + 39960.730 + 39966.754 + 39987.720 + 40373.671 + 40374.676 + 40375.674 + 40613.967 + 40613.967 + 40663.881 + 40667.863 + 40667.863 + 40667.863 + 41025.943 + 41026.958 + 41027.832 + BR 4983 =	42.2 3.0 40.1 3.8 40.5 2.7 β CVn 4.3 0.1 5.0 0.3 2.4 0.3 4.2 0.2 7.8 0.7 3.8 0.6 6.3 0.7 4.8 0.3 6.6 0.5 9.4 0.6 8.8 0.4 10.2 0.6 7.4 0.4 6.7 0.3 7.5 0.6 6.7 0.3 7.5 0.6 6.7 0.3 7.9 0.4 6.5 0.3 7.0 0.5 8.0 0.4	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
39247.891 + 39277.739 + 39903.983 + 39908.950 +	$\begin{array}{c} 4.8 & 0.3 \\ 2.1 & 0.2 \\ 2.1 & 0.3 \\ 6.3 & \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{HR } 5235}{39247.907} = \frac{\text{N}}{6.0} = 0.3$ 39277.766 - 9.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	39317.723 -43.3 0.6 39909.017 -37.4 0.7 39910.034 -39.9 0.7 39929.922 -40.2 <u>+</u> 0.4	39929.947 -11.3 0.8 39966.866 -12.5 0.6 39984.789 -13.7 0.2 39987.767 -10.7 <u>+</u> 0.4

 \circledcirc American Astronomical Society $\,\bullet\,$ Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

Table] (continued)

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. 2400000+ Prob. Erro (km sec ⁻¹)	& Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & r 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r} \mbox{HR} 5694 = 5 \ \mbox{Ser} \\ 39966.911 + 52.6 & 0.4 \\ 39987.808 + 52.7 & 0.5 \\ 40049.704 + 53.7 & 0.9 \\ 40050.662 + 52.9 & 0.7 \\ 40373.774 + 52.4 & 0.5 \\ 40666.978 + 56.4 & 0.3 \\ 40667.920 + 55.1 & 0.4 \\ 40753.708 + 56.1 & 0.4 \\ 40758.648 + 54.6 & 0.1 \\ 40759.699 + 53.6 & 0.4 \\ 41027.930 + 54.8 & 0.4 \\ 41047.946 + 53.7 & 0.5 \\ 41048.892 + 54.0 & 0.4 \\ 41085.755 + 54.4 & 0.3 \\ 41085.755 + 54.4 & 0.3 \\ 41085.755 + 54.4 & 0.3 \\ 41085.755 + 54.4 & 0.3 \\ 41103.714 + 54.8 & 0.6 \\ 41193.667 + 54.4 & 0.4 \\ 41234.576 + 53.5 & 0.4 \\ 41324.068 + 54.8 & 0.2 \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{HR } 5914 = \chi \text{ Her}}{39609.840} - 56.7 0.4$ $39987.816 - 56.9 0.6$ $40049.722 - 58.4 0.9$ $40050.677 - 57.2 0.7$ $40373.861 - 54.1 0.5$ $40758.671 - 56.0 0.5$ $40759.728 - 56.5 0.4$ $41027.895 - 56.7 0.4$ $41047.964 - 58.4 0.6$ $41048.961 - 56.8 0.3$ $41078.877 - 57.6 0.6$ $41085.777 - 57.4 0.4$ $41086.852 - 55.8 0.5$ $41102.724 - 55.6 0.3$ $41103.731 - 55.6 0.2$ $41103.731 - 55.6 0.2$ $41103.731 - 55.6 0.2$ $41103.684 - 55.0 0.4$ $41233.624 - 56.5 0.6$ $\frac{\text{HR } 5933 = \chi \text{ Ser}}{2900000000000000000000000000000000000$
$\frac{\text{HR}}{39277.842} + \frac{\text{Vir}}{5.4} \\ \frac{39277.842}{2} + \frac{5.4}{5.4} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{39909.040}{3910.053} + \frac{4.9}{4.7} \\ \frac{39910.053}{39929.957} + \frac{3.5}{3.5} \\ \frac{39966.877}{39987.777} + \frac{4.3}{4.3} \\ \frac{40052.662}{4} + \frac{9.3}{9.3} \\ \frac{40282.013}{4.2} + \frac{2.2}{2.0} \\ \frac{40373.736}{4.7} + \frac{7.7}{7} \\ \frac{40613.985}{4.2} + \frac{3.4}{3.4} \\ \frac{40666.961}{4.2.7} + \frac{2.9}{3.4} \\ \frac{40666.961}{4.2.7} + \frac{2.9}{3.4} \\ \frac{40666.961}{4.2.7} + \frac{2.9}{3.4} \\ \frac{40657.884}{4.4} + \frac{4.3}{4.3} \\ \frac{40753.677}{5.634} + \frac{9.4}{9.6} \\ \frac{40759.638}{4.7.1} \\ \frac{41026.003}{5.2} \\ \frac{5.2}{2.4} \\ \frac{4026}{5.2} \\ \frac{5.2}{2.4} \\ \frac{4026}{5.2} \\ \frac{5.2}{2.4} \\ \frac{4026}{5.2} \\ \frac{5.2}{2.4} \\ \frac{1026}{5.2} \\ \frac{5.2}{2.4} \\ 5.$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{r} 41026.994 + 0.7 \\ 41027.861 + 0.5 \\ 1.41047.898 - 0.4 \\ 1.41047.898 - 0.4 \\ 1.41047.899 - 0.4 \\ 39929.967 - 21.2 \\ 39960.865 - 24.6 \\ \pm 0.4 \\ 1.41026.994 \\ 1.41026$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 41233.571 & -14.6 & 0.5 \\ 41234.561 & -14.7 & 0.2 \\ \\ \underline{HR 5868} = \lambda & \underline{Ser} \\ 39904.029 & -69.8 & 0.3 \\ 39966.922 & -69.3 & 0.4 \\ 39987.825 & -68.0 & \underline{+}0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

 \circledcirc American Astronomical Society $\,\bullet\,$ Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

Table] (continued)

Helio. JD 2400000+	Rad. Vel. & Prob. Error (km sec-1)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
40758.711 40759.725 41027.947 41047.979 41048.910 41085.846 41086.830 41002.735 41103.729 41103.615 41233.589 41234.568	$\begin{array}{c} -15.7 +0.2 \\ -17.6 0.5 \\ -18.3 0.4 \\ -19.3 0.5 \\ -18.8 0.4 \\ -20.6 0.5 \\ -21.0 0.5 \\ -19.5 0.5 \\ -20.6 0.4 \\ -21.4 0.4 \\ -22.8 0.4 \\ -23.5 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
HR 5968 39609.862 39960.936 39987.882 40049.737 40050.707 40052.690 40373 856	$= \rho CrB + 16.3 0.4 + 17.6 0.7 + 17.6 0.4 + 15.9 0.6 + 18.3 0.5 + 19.3 0.8 + 20.6 + 19.3 0.8 + 20.6$	$\begin{array}{r} \hline R & 0.212 & - & 0.112 \\ 39988.980 & -75.1 & 0.6 \\ 40050.727 & -75.0 & 0.6 \\ 40052.709 & -73.9 & 0.7 \\ 40373.876 & -72.5 & 0.6 \\ 40432.642 & -74.3 & 0.5 \\ 40433.647 & -75.1 & 0.4 \\ 40697.908 & -75.6 & 0.3 \\ 40758.677 & -74.2 & 0.4 \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{HR} \ 6458}{40051.646} = \frac{72}{79.1} \text{ Her}$ $\frac{40051.646}{0052.726} = \frac{79.1}{79.6} \text{ O.7}$ $\frac{40373.912}{0.77.2} = \frac{77.2}{78.6} \text{ O.4}$ $\frac{40432.667}{0.78.5} = \frac{78.9}{78.9} \text{ O.4}$	41202.632 -17.1 0.4 41204.608 -16.3 0.3 41233.742 -17.0 0.5 41234.623 -16.8 0.5 41235.600 -17.4 0.5 41324.057 -17.2 0.3
40667.007 40667.994 40753.728 40758.679 40759.733 41027.958 41047.990 41048.934	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40759.807 -74.7 0.4 41027.981 -73.2 0.3 41048.013 -75.1 0.4 41048.978 -76.6 0.4 41085.915 -75.5 0.3 41086.874 -73.9 0.6 41102.756 -74.6 0.4	40768.696 -76.5 0.3 40759.801 -78.4 0.4 41028.032 -79.3 0.6 41085.874 -78.1 0.4 41086.890 -76.2 0.3 41102.769 -79.1 0.3 41103.760 -76.1 0.6	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
41078.888 41085.787 41086.845 41102.739 41103.738 <u>HR 5986</u>	$\begin{array}{c} +17.3 & 0.6 \\ +18.4 & 0.4 \\ +18.9 & 0.5 \\ +19.4 & 0.3 \\ +19.4 & 0.5 \end{array}$ $= 0 \text{ Dra}$	41168.742 -74.2 0.4 41193.694 -73.0 0.3 41233.619 -75.2 0.5 41234.599 -74.6 0.6 41324.040 -74.4 0.4 41470.802 -74.2 0.4 HP 6242 - 20 0ph	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	40758.719 -40.2 0.7 40759.825 -40.6 0.9 41049.021 -40.1 1.0 41085.864 -39.2 0.6 41086.903 -39.1 0.7 41103.855 -37.1 1.2 41201.668 -39.8 1.3
39277.894 39609.872 40050.713 40052.695 40373.864 40697.898 40758.685 40759.735	-14.6 0.4 -26.8 0.7 +15.7 1.3 -31.0 0.8 + 7.3 0.9 -17.5 0.8 -33.3 0.7 + 2.9 0.6	$\frac{n \kappa}{39988.988} = \frac{2000 \mu}{0.300}$ $\frac{39988.988}{40050.735} + 2.4 0.8$ $\frac{40051.725}{1.725} + 2.9 0.8$ $\frac{40373.888}{40373.888} + 3.9 0.7$ $\frac{40432.650}{40433.656} + 1.0 0.5$ $\frac{40697.913}{1.000} = 0.5 0.7$	39277.920 - 0.1 0.8 39989.002 - 1.6 1.3 40051.659 + 0.9 2.0 40373.894 + 10.1 1.2 40432.675 + 11.0 1.1 40433.699 + 1.4 0.5 40697.929 - 0.8 1.4 40758.704 - 20.6 1.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
41027.966 41047.997 41048.965 41085.793 41086.857 41102.742 41103.739	$\begin{array}{c} + & 3.0 \\ -18.7 \\ 0.6 \\ +15.2 \\ 0.6 \\ +17.4 \\ 0.6 \\ -22.7 \\ 0.6 \\ -32.5 \\ 0.5 \\ + & 7.0 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} +24.5 \\ +24.5 \\ +24.5 \\ +24.5 \\ +24.5 \\ +24.5 \\ +24.5 \\ +17.8 \\ +17.8 \\ 0.7 \\ +1028.024 \\ +2.0 \\ 0.7 \\ +1048.989 \\ -9.9 \\ 2.0 \\ +1085.856 \\ +55.7 \\ 0.4 \\ -58.0 \\ 0.7 \\ +1006 \\ -1006$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
41193.689 41233.630 41234.613 41235.608 41324.052	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	41102./62 - 2.3 0.5 41103.755 - 1.0 0.6 41193.676 - 1.2 0.6 41233.609 - 1.5 0.4 41234.583 - 2.4 0.5 41235.568 - 1.7 0.7	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
39609.886 40049.746 40050.719 40052.703 40373.871 40432.635 40433.637	$\begin{array}{c} -+2.6 & 2.3 \\ -34.9 & 2.3 \\ -32.9 & 3.3 \\ -43.6 & 2.6 \\ -34.8 & 3.1 \\ -37.7 & 2.6 \\ -31.3 & 3.6 \\ -40.8 & \pm 1.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} -56.1 & 2.6 \\ 41103.809 & - 8.5 & 0.6 \\ 41201.633 & -15.5 & 0.5 \\ 41202.645 & +18.3 & 0.7 \\ & -54.9 & 2.7 \\ 41203.655 & +12.0 & 0.6 \\ & -54.1 & 3.5 \\ 41204.616 & -21.2 & \pm 0.7 \end{array}$

1976ApJS...30..273A

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & Helio. JD Rad. Vel. Prob. Error (km sec⁻¹) 2400000+ 2400000+ 2400000+ Prob. Error 2400000+ Prob. Error Prob. Error (km sec⁻¹) (km sec⁻¹) (km sec⁻¹) 40432.768 41233.751 3.1 <u>+</u>0.8 41491.810 -54.0 +1.9 40429.766 -23.3 <u>+</u>0.6 +23.8 +0.8 +23.0 41234.627 0.8 40432.753 -23.8 0.6 40484.608 +23.7 0.6 HR 6775 = 99 Her 40484.588 -18.5 40752.965 +24.2 -59.2 1.9 1.0 0.6 2.1 +24.0 39277.951 0.5 40752.953 41235.605 + 0.30.6 -18.3 1.1 40758.752 0.6 40051.709 + 1.7 0.8 40758.761 -21.0 0.5 40759.888 +22.0 0.4 40429.740 -0.1 0.4 40759.878 -29.2 40872.622 +23.1 HR 6636 =Dra 0.8 0.6 ψ -13.3 40051.683 0.7 40872.609 40873.602 40430.736 + 1.1 0.7 -30.0 1.5 +23.7 0.5 0.6 40432.732 + 1.6 0.3 40873.588 40874.614 40370.968 -11.3 -21.1 0.6 +22.3 0.6 0.5 40406.853 40758.722 1.9 0.3 40874.604 -18.5 0.7 41103.876 +25.7 -11.3 + 0.6 0.7 40759.861 41197.659 +24.6 40429.717 -10.7 + 0.5 0.4 41103.863 -21.3 0.8 0.5 40430.702 + 0.7 0.3 -21.9 -12.1 0.6 41102.847 41233.688 0.4 41233.725 +22.3 0.4 40432.705 -10.4 0.4 41103.837 + 1.8 0.4 41234.651 -24.5 41234.662 +24.4 1.1 0.4 41201.660 40758.737 + 1.8 41235.583 -11.8 +23.7 -10.4 0.5 0.4 1.2 41235.592 0.5 40759.838 41202.701 + 1.0 -25.6 -11.1 0.5 0.5 41440.969 1.0 41405.022 +22.2 0.4 41085.917 -10.8 0.5 41204.639 + 1.6 0.6 41469.871 -18.7 0.7 41440.946 +23.7 0.6 41086.928 -10.2 0.5 41233.716 + 1.9 0.4 41470.857 -26.0 1.6 41441.844 +22.3 0.6 41102.826 - 9.8 0.6 41234.609 + 2.0 0.5 41471.876 -22.0 0.4 + 1.7 41103.812 -10.5 0.5 41235.594 0.4 41491.814 -25.4 0.5 HR 7172 = 11Aq 1 0.3 41201.641 41405.014 - 0.1 40050.878 +14.2 0.9 -10.6 0.6 +14.8 41202.654 -10.3 0.6 41440.936 - 1.3 0.4 <u>6987</u> -39.0 40432.776 0.5 HR 41204.622 -11.1 0.5 40050.864 2.5 40484.617 +16.2 0.7 40752.971 41233.757 0.7 HR 6850 = 36 Dra-39.1 2.4 - 9.6 40432.762 +13.6 0.6 39277.978 40051.714 41234.631 -10.6 0.5 -38.3 0.6 40484.599 -47.1 4.4 40758.756 +15.1 0.8 +13.3 40752.960 41235.614 -10.2 0.4 -36.6 0.8 -34.5 2.1 40759.893 0.3 41324.063 -12.0 0.5 40406.874 -35.5 0.4 40758.767 40872.617 -31.5 1.8 +14.3 0.6 40429.746 -35.0 0.4 40759.884 -35.8 40873.596 +15.3 1.6 0.6 HR 6701 = 35 Dra40430.744 -37.1 0.6 40872.602 -34.8 2.1 40874.610 +14.30.4 40051.691 -24.4 0.8 40432.737 -35.5 40873.581 0.5 -41.3 3.5 41103.872 +16.5 0.8 0.5 40758.727 40370.974 -25.2 -36.1 0.5 40874.598 -37.5 4.3 41197.671 +15.6 0.3 40406.861 -24.3 0.4 40759.896 -35.9 0.4 41103.868 -31.9 2.6 41201.686 +15.5 0.8 0.6 40429.726 -25.3 40872.561 -37.1 0.3 41233.702 -29.5 4.2 41202.734 +13.9 0.7 40430.712 -24.3 0.5 0.5 41234.657 40873.560 -37.0 -32.2 3.4 41204.664 +15.7 0.7 40432.712 -25.1 0.5 40874.566 -36.2 0.6 41235.576 -35.0 2.4 41233.732 +13.6 0.6 0.6 40758.743 -25.1 41085.944 -37.8 1.1 41440.973 -32.4 2.0 41234.667 +16.0 0.6 40759.843 41102.839 -26.3 0.5 -35.4 0.6 41469.877 -32.6 2.1 41235.588 +16.1 0.5 -33.3 -25.7 41085.928 0.6 41103.827 -36.5 0.5 41470.862 2.2 41440.951 +15.2 0.7 41086.933 -25.5 0.5 41169.858 -35.0 0.4 41471.883 -34.6 2.2 41469.882 +14.8 0.6 -34.6 41102.831 -24.1 0.6 41233.771 -34.2 0.4 41491.820 2.8 $\frac{\text{HR 7261} = 17 \text{ Lyr}}{39317.941 - 29.8}$ 41103.817 -24.9 0.4 41234.640 -36.1 0.5 41492.899 -36.5 2.8 41201.649 -25.6 0.5 41235.625 -35.2 0.5 2.5 -25.2 <u>α Ly</u> -10.0 41202.664 0.4 41405.004 -35.4 HR 7001 39317.947 -27.3 0.4 39609.980 2.4 Lyr 41204.629 2.3 41440.920 -37.3 0.3 0.4 40050.884 -44.0 3.0 -15.6 41233.764 -24.6 0.6 39609.988 0.9 40484.631 -55.7 5.4 $= \chi$ Dra +44.7 -14.1 41234.636 -25.4 0.5 HR 6927 39609.989 0.7 40752.975 5.0 -37.4 40050.841 39987.891 -24.5 0.4 41235.620 0.6 -14.0 40758.804 0.6 -37.4 4.4 +15.0 41324.067 -25.8 0.4 40429.756 0.3 40282.022 -12.7 0.5 40759.909 -37.8 2.9 41404.991 0.3 -49.9 -25.3 40432.743 +16.2 0.4 41103.878 -13.4 1.1 40872.593 4.7 40698.007 +13.3 0.5 41169.852 -13.8 0.6 40873.576 -46.9 4.6 $\frac{\text{HR } 6710 = \zeta \text{ Ser}}{277.943 - 52.5}$ 40758.739 +28.8 0.4 41169.855 -12.6 0.6 40874.592 -44.7 3.7 39277.943 1.7 40759.901 41103.889 +27.5 0.7 41234.647 -12.0 0.5 -23.5 2.6 40051.705 -15.6 -58.0 1.5 40872.570 41525.778 +43.40.4 0.9 41169.875 -46.7 3.8 40370.987 -53.5 1.6 40873.565 +44.2 0.4 41525.780 -14.2 0.7 41197.685 -18.0 1.4 40429.703 -51.5 2.0 40874.579 +41.8 0.4 41525.783 -13.9-28.7 1.0 41201.697 2.6 +37.5 41525.785 40430.729 1.7 -58.0 41102.835 0.4 -14.6 0.7 41204.672 -34.1 4.6 40432.726 -52.1 2.3 41103.822 +38.9 0.2 41525.860 -13.2 1.4 41234.673 -22.4 1.8 40758.716 -51.6 1.8 41169.862 +46.3 0.3 41525.863 -11.8 0.3 41235.637 -23.7 1.8 41087.001 +24.0 -51.8 1.5 41233.779 0.5 41551.786 -13.8 0.6 41405.036 -26.8 4.6 41103.860 -49.1 2.1 41234.645 +23.3 0.6 41551.789 -11.8 0.2 41233.676 2.1 41235.630 41552.737 -58.5 +23.1 0.6 -14.9 0.6 HR 7441 39317.955 = <u>9 Cyg</u> -19.4 = 9 41404.997 41234.597 -57.9 2.0 +42.2 0.2 41552.740 -14.5 1.4 0.8 41235.566 -50.1 3.9 41440.915 +44.5 0.4 41553.784 -13.7 1.1 40050.890 -21.1 0.8 41405.029 -50.2 1.2 41469.882 +46.3 0.4 41553.787 -14.1 40197.538 1.0 0.6 -17.6 2.1 41440.978 -52.6 41470.867 +47.0 0.3 40198.540 -17.5 0.5 41469.867 -52.8 1.7 HR 7061 = 110 Her40484.646 -11.9 0.5
 HR
 6985
 39219.763

 40050.855
 -22.0
 +1.8
 40050.870
 +19.1 0.7 +22.5 <u>+</u>0.8 41470.853 2.2 -51.1 40752.979 -12.6 0.8 41471.872 -53.3 +1.7 40758.770 - 9.5 +0.6

Table 1 (continued)

Table] (continued)

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
408/2.588 -27.8 0.2 40873.572 -27.1 0.5 40874.586 -27.5 0.5 41102.898 -27.8 0.6 41103.890 -27.2 0.5 41175.805 -24.8 0.5 41197.695 -27.7 0.3 41204.699 -26.8 0.5 41235.633 -26.9 0.5 4140.924 -28.0 0.5 41469.887 -27.9 0.4	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\frac{HR}{40052.769} = \frac{42}{-26.3} = \frac{4}{-26.3} = \frac{4}$
$\begin{array}{c} & HR & 7496 \\ 40052.740 & +14.3 & 0.8 \\ 40197.552 & +14.9 & 0.5 \\ 40198.554 & +14.6 & 0.6 \\ 40484.671 & +17.9 & 0.4 \\ 40758.860 & +17.0 & 0.5 \\ 40872.639 & +19.2 & 0.4 \\ 40873.630 & +20.5 & 0.5 \\ 40874.627 & +19.5 & 0.5 \\ 41102.931 & +16.1 & 0.7 \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{HR} 7882 = \beta \text{ Del}}{40051.842 - 27.8} 1.0$ $40052.796 - 25.3 1.3$ $40197.584 - 26.0 1.4$ $40429.805 - 24.4 1.4$ $40432.814 - 24.6 1.1$ $40433.809 - 25.0 1.1$ $40484.707 - 24.1 2.2$ $40486.678 - 22.6 1.3$ $40758.826 - 22.9 1.2$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
41103.917 +14.6 0.4 41204.710 +16.2 0.5 41234.681 +15.8 0.4 41235.666 +16.8 0.5 41440.982 +13.7 0.5 41469.901 +14.2 0.6 41470.886 +14.2 0.4 41471.891 +14.2 0.4 41491.825 +15.2 0.4 41492.905 +15.6 0.4 HR 7534 = 17 Cvg	40759.935 -22.9 1.0 40872.663 -20.6 1.6 40873.661 -20.3 1.4 40874.646 -20.5 1.5 41102.918 -20.5 1.0 41103.902 -21.5 1.5 41197.703 -23.2 1.0 41204.733 -21.9 2.2 41235.723 -22.8 0.9 41469.937 -20.9 1.0 41581.708 -22.5 1.6	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\frac{\text{HR}}{40052.778} = \frac{\mu^{1}}{18.6} \frac{\text{Cyg}}{0.7}$ $\frac{40197.640}{198.651} + \frac{16.7}{16.7} \frac{0.6}{0.6}$ $\frac{40484.778}{40518.649} + \frac{16.7}{16.1} \frac{0.4}{0.4}$ $\frac{40519.616}{40519.616} + \frac{15.5}{15.5} \frac{0.4}{0.4}$ $\frac{40758.891}{40758.977} + \frac{15.0}{15.0} \frac{0.6}{0.6}$ $\frac{40817.859}{40844.838} + \frac{17.7}{17.0} \frac{0.6}{0.6}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\frac{\text{HR } 7947 = \gamma^1 \text{ Del}}{40051.848 - 8.5 1.3}$ $40052.753 - 7.7 0.9$ $40197.591 - 4.5 0.4$ $40198.597 - 7.2 0.6$ $40433.816 - 8.4 0.4$ $40484.717 - 5.4 \pm 0.5$	41581.724 - 6.0 3.4 41589.722 - 2.0 2.7 <u>HR 8034C</u> 41581.730 +13.5 0.6 41589.730 +14.8 <u>+</u> 0.6 <u>HR 8123 = 6 Equ</u>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

 \circledcirc American Astronomical Society $\,\bullet\,$ Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. &

2400000+

40519.633

+41.5 +0.4 40817.884

Prob. Error (km sec⁻¹)

Prob. Error (km sec⁻¹) 1.3 ± 2.5 4.5 1.8HR 8905 = υ Peg 41235.736 +16.5 +0.4 40758.887 -40.9 <u>+</u>0.5 40844.890 -15.5 <u>+</u>1.6 + 4.5 40759.983 -0.5 39068.664 41469.963 -53.2 40872.719 +15.7 0.5 40817.872 +20.2 0.6 40873.718 + 4.9 2.1 39097.650 - 6.7 2.9 HR 8315 = κ Peg 40872.686 40874.693 0.7 -52.3 0.6 2.0 40052.880 -15.3 1.7 -23.2 0.8 40900.761 + - 7.5 40052.782 40873.686 -38.9 0.3 3.1 2.0 40518.714 2.9 40197.645 -31.5 0.7 40874.664 -12.1 0.4 41175.914 -1.7 2.3 40519.684 -13.6 1.6 40198.656 41175.864 + 7.4 41193.897 2.9 + 0.2 1.1 0.6 + 1.5 40526.657 -11.2 1.3 41193.866 +46.5 0.4 41197.828 + 4.2 40549.610 40484.787 -18.6 0.6 2.6 - 4.8 3.1 -10.3 41204.842 - 0.9 40518.654 -28.8 0.6 41204.803 +43.7 0.5 3.0 40550.596 2.7 + 41233.817 +31.7 41233.806 7.4 1.8 40758.914 -14.4 40519.619 -40.5 1.0 0.5 1.4 40758.871 -32.5 1.2 41234.792 +42.8 0.3 41235.778 + 4.92.0 40817.893 -14.6 1.7 - 2.3 41471.971 1.8 40759.981 - 3.6 0.6 41235.739 +39.2 0.4 40872.733 -13.5 2.0 41491.946 2.3 40873.736 -13.1 3.3 40817.862 -38.7 1.5 40874.714 40844.843 +33.0 ΗR 8455 41492.921 - 8.3 2.7 -18.2 2.1 1.1 40050.907 +20.1 41492.964 - 4.8 41175.928 2.8 0.7 1.9 -39.1 -12.1 1.7 +20.1 -14.2 40872.673 -27.5 1.3 40197.674 0.4 41492.976 -10.4 2.0 41193.913 1.4 40198.684 41492.979 40873.674 + 7.3 1.0 +20.8 0.3 -10.6 2.4 41194.898 -12.2 1.6 -14.3 40874.654 +19.8 41492.982 -12.4 2.4 41201.850 2.1 +28.9 1.2 40518.683 0.4 3.5 40519.643 +19.8 0.4 41525.847 -13.1 3.3 41202.754 -14.91.8 -36.1 41102.990 - 2.6 0.9 40758.879 +21.7 0.4 41551.916 - 6.1 2.6 41203.838 -18.3 2.3 - 6.7 1.9 41103.947 -28.8 1.8 40759.992 +20.1 0.4 41552.843 41204.685 -13.12.1 -35.1 - 4.9 41169.931 1.7 40817.876 +21.4 0.4 41553.896 3.8 41175.858 -34.8 1.6 40872.695 +21.0 0.4 HR 8969 = 1P<u>sc</u> + 7.3 Peg 39068.670 0.1 41197.744 +24.0 0.5 40873.696 +18.8 0.4 HR 8665 = ξ 39067.672 - 2.7 40874.672 +21.5 0.5 0.3 39097.662 + 7.8 -34.7 3.1 0.4 41204.785 -11.5 0.6 41175.894 +23.5 0.3 39068.644 - 2.8 0.4 40052.886 + 5.7 0.4 41193.880 +23.8 - 1.8 41234.770 1.0 39097.621 -15.5 0.3 0.4 40485.598 + 5.5 0.4 - 9.3 41235.733 -42.5 1.7 41204.818 +21.4 0.4 40050.939 0.6 40485.606 + 6.5 0.3 + 5.0 3.6 41233.827 +20.7 0.4 40518.709 - 5.0 0.5 40485.613 + 6.4 0.4 1.9 41234.806 - 6.7 41551.884 -31.2 +21.0 0.4 40519.673 40485.677 5.9 0.4 + 0.3 - 6.0 41552.813 0.6 5.6 -32.7 2.0 41235.746 +20.8 0.5 40526.645 40485.685 + 0.4 41553.857 -14.6 1.5 41470.972 +21.8 0.5 40549.578 - 3.5 0.6 40485.690 + 5.4 0.4 41471.935 - 4.1 2.4 +20.6 0.5 40550.565 0.5 40485.695 + 41581.781 -26.1 6.7 0.5 6.7 41491.931 +20.5 0.3 40758.920 - 5.7 0.2 40485.702 + 0.3 8400 <u>= 16 Cep</u> -20.3 40817.887 - 4.6 0.3 40485.707 + 6.4 0.4 HR 40052.786 0.8 - 6.0 40485.714 HR 8472 40872.723 6.2 0.4 + 0.6 39068.631 -19.9 40873.726 0.1 - 6.1 40197.657 -20.7 0.8 0.6 40485.721 + 6.6 0.5 40198.665 -21.1 0.7 39097.604 -20.9 0.5 40874.700 -7.4 0.3 40485.729 + 6.3 0.5 0.6 40496.718 0.6 40050.920 -20.9 41175.918 4.1 40518.664 -22.5 0.3 8.2 + 0.5 - 4.7 40197.696 -22.0 41193.903 + 7.8 40519.628 -22.8 0.6 0.5 0.3 40496.722 0.4 40758.904 -20.9 0.4 40198.703 -22.2 0.5 41204.853 -5.4 0.5 40496.726 + 7.4 0.4 40496.731 40817.861 -20.3 0.6 40518.696 -21.5 0.4 41233.840 - 7.7 0.5 7.1 + 0.4 - 5.7 -21.5 0.4 41235.785 40872.678 -21.2 0.5 40519.657 0.5 40496.736 + 6.8 0.6 40873.679 -21.9 0.4 40758.894 -19.6 0.4 41469.989 _ 5.1 0.4 40496.741 + 6.7 0.3 40874.657 40817.867 -21.8 0.6 -21.1 0.6 40496.746 + 6.1 0.5 0.6 0.4 Peg 41197.803 -21.3 40872.709 -22.0 <u>HR 8697</u> = σ 40496.750 + 6.1 0.4 39068.652 41204.790 -19.8 0.7 40873.706 -22.0 0.4 +14.5 0.2 40496.755 5.7 + 0.5 41233.785 40874.682 40496.764 -21.8 0.6 -21.8 0.5 39097.635 +13.8 0.4 + 7.1 0.5 41234.777 -21.3 41175.901 0.5 0.5 -18.7 40519.678 +11.7 0.6 40496.771 + 6.4 0.5 41235.759 -22.2 0.8 41193.855 -17.6 0.4 40526.650 +12.2 0.5 40496.779 + 6.1 0.5 41469.970 41204.830 40496.790 -22.0 0.6 -21.0 0.5 40549.586 +13.70.4 6.2 + 0.4 41233.794 41470.985 -21.0 0.9 -19.8 0.3 40550.570 +13.8 0.7 40496.797 + 7.0 0.3 41471.960 -22.5 0.6 41234.786 -21.2 0.4 40758.925 +12.4 0.2 40496.831 + 6.7 0.5 41492.930 -22.1 40496.836 0.8 41235.769 -20.2 0.4 40817.890 +14.2 0.5 + 7.3 0.5 41525.851 -19.00.9 41469.976 -20.4 0.5 40872.728 +12.5 0.4 40496.841 + 7.8 0.7 0.5 41470.980 -19.7 0.5 40873.731 +11.940518.719 + 6.3 0.4 HR 8430 39067.655 <u>= ι Peg</u> +11.2 40874.707 +10.7 0.3 40519.688 + 5.5 0.4 0.9 HR 856<u>6 = 37 Peg</u> 41175.924 +13.6 0.5 40526.662 + 6.6 0.6 7.6 2.6 0.4 40050.932 39068.623 +32.9-41193.908 +13.1 0.3 40549.616 7.4 + 0.3 +12.3 39319.788 -56.1 0.4 40197.705 + 6.2 1.9 41204.859 0.6 40550.601 + 8.40.5 40198.713 + 4.5 + 4.7 40050.897 +41.2 0.7 0.6 41233.843 +12.2 0.4 40758.933 + 5.4 0.2 40197.661 -49.7 0.6 40519.667 1.0 41235.792 +14.5 0.5 40817.896 + 7.2 0.4 1.6 40198.670 -53.2 0.4 40526.639 + 5.9 41471.977 + 6.1 +11.80.3 40872.738 0.4 - 3.8 2.2 41492.928 - 0.2 <u>+</u>3.0 41525.899 40518.673 +27.1 0.4 40758.942 +10.0 0.5

Table 1 (continued)

Helio. JD

2400000+

Rad. Vel. &

Prob. Error (km sec⁻¹)

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. &

2400000+

Prob. Error (km sec⁻¹)

+ 5.4

+ 5.5 + 0.3

0.3

40873.740

40874.717

+13.4 +0.7

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. &

2400000+

`

Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Rad. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)	Helio. JD Red. Vel. & 2400000+ Prob. Error (km sec ⁻¹)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\frac{\text{HR } 9072 = \omega \text{Psc}}{39068.675 + 7.0 \pm 0.8}$ $39097.670 + 7.3 = 0.8$ $40519.693 + 4.3 = 1.1$ $40526.666 + 6.1 = 1.1$ $40549.621 + 5.7 = 0.8$ $40550.605 + 6.9 \pm 1.2$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table 1 (concluded)

т	a	Ь	1	e	2

Observing	List	and	Results	on	Duplicity
obsciving	6130	unu	NC30103	011	Dupitcity

HR	Name	(km sec-1)	n	<p.e.> (km sec⁻ Internal Extern</p.e.>	<pre>1) Comments and Conclusions al</pre>
33 82 219	6 Cet ρ And η Cas	+17.3 +13.4 + 9.2	19 20 22	$\begin{array}{cccc} + & 0.5 & + & 0.9 \\ \hline 1.1 & & 1.5 \\ 0.4 & & 1.0 \end{array}$	Constant velocity Constant velocity SB1, P=9.209 days (first orbit); VB, 480
225 235	64 Psc φ ² Cet	-15.4 +10.8	20 20	1.0 20.9 0.5 1.9	SB2, P=13.8208 days (first orbit) Probable constant velocity
244 366 413 417 458	37 Cet ρ Psc ω And υ And	+22.5 +24.0 - 7.1 +14.8 -27.6	20 20 20 20 20	0.4 0.9 0.5 1.0 1.9 2.5 1.3 1.7 0.4 1.1	SBI, P=127.95 days (first orbit) Constant velocity; ADS 1003B has a CPM Constant velocity Constant velocity; ADS 1152B has a CPM SBI, P=197.9 days (first orbit)
483 544 646 660 781	α Tri η Ari δ Tri ε Cet	+ 5.1 -19.2 + 6.9 - 3.0 +16.9	20 20 20 21 20	0.3 1.0 2.7 6.4 0.4 1.1 0.3 5.1 0.4 1.2	Constant velocity SBl, P=1.73645 days (improved orbit) Constant velocity SBl, P=10.02009 days (improved orbit) VB, P=975.9 days (first spectroscopic orbit)
788 799 818 855	12 Per θ Per τ ¹ Eri 20 Per	+26.0 +29.6 + 2.9	0 20 21 20	0.4 1.0 0.5 1.4 2.0 2.4	SB2, P=331.0 days (Colacevich 1941) Constant velocity; VB, P=2720 days SB1, P=958 days (first orbit) SB1, P=1269 days (first orbit); VB, P=31.6 or 63 Lycars: ADS 2200C bas a CPM
869	ρ Ari	+ 8.8	20	0.5 3.0	SB1, $P=3507$ days (first orbit)
937 962	ι Per 94 Cet	+50.8 +20.8	20 20	0.4 1.0 0.4 1.6	Constant velocity Probable constant velocity; ADS 2406B has a CPM
1101 1210	10 Tau 43 Per	+29.2 +13.2	20 20	0.4 1.1 0.9 17.8	Constant velocity SB2, P=30.43907 days (improved orbit); IDS 03492N5024B has a CPM
1249	••••	+18.7	20	0.5 0.8	Constant velocity
1254 1257 1278 1309 1543	50 Per 46 Tau π ³ Ori	+39.6 -16.6 +26.9 + 3.9 +24.7	20 20 20 20 20	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1.0 & 1.3 \\ 0.5 & 1.6 \\ 0.5 & 1.1 \\ 1.5 & 2.6 \\ 0.6 & 0.8 \end{array}$	Constant velocity Probable constant velocity Constant velocity SB2 ?; VB, 7.18 years Constant velocity
1673 1686 1729 1780 2047	68 Eri λ Aur 11] Tau χ ¹ Ori	+11.2 -10.4 +66.6 +38.0 -12.5	20 20 20 21 20	0.6 1.4 0.5 1.2 0.4 0.6 0.4 0.8 0.4 1.1	Possible variable velocity Constant velocity Constant velocity Constant velocity Possible variable velocity
2220 2241 2401 2483 2484	71 Ori 74 Ori ψ ⁵ Aur ξ Gem	+34.9 + 9.2 +16.0 -23.8 +28.6	20 20 20 20 20	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.4 & 0.8 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.9 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \\ \pm 2.2 & \pm 2.6 \end{array}$	Constant velocity Constant velocity SBl, P=60.0 days (first orbit) Constant velocity Constant velocity

				Tab	<u>le 2 (cont</u>	inued)
HR	Name	(km sec ⁻¹)	n	<p.e.> (Internal</p.e.>	km sec-1) External	Comments and Conclusions
2846	63 Gem	+13.3	20	<u>+</u> 1.1	<u>+</u> 52.0	SB2, P=1.9326797 days (improved orbit); ADS
2849	22 Lyn	-27.2	21	0.4	0.8	Constant velocity
2943	α CMi	- 3.7	55	0.5	1.3	SB1, P=40.23 years (known)
3064	9 Pup	-20.0	22	0.5	0.8	VB, P=23.18 years (known); questionable
3176	μ Cnc	-36.4	20	0.4	0.8	Constant velocity
3262	x Cnc	+33.2	20	0.5	1.0	Possible variable velocity
3579	10 UMa	+30.4	22	0.6	1.5	VB, P=21.85 years
3616	σ ² UMa	- 2.0	21	0.5		VB, P=1067.1 years
3648	16 UMa 	-18.5 +56.8	20	0.5	16.5	SB1, $P=16.23969$ days (improved orbit) SB1, $P=917$ days (first orbit); IDS 09228S
						0538B has a CPM
3754	ω Leo	- 8.3	20	0.5	0.7	<pre>VB, P=116.85 years; SB1, same period (first orbit)</pre>
3759	τ ¹ Hya	+10.2	23	0.7	0.8	Constant velocity
3775	0 UMa	+15.7	19	0.5	1.2	has a CPM
3881 3928	19 LMi	+ 5.6 - 5.5	20 20	0.4 0.6	1.0 8.2	Probable constant velocity SB1, P=9.2847 days (improved orbit)
2001		± 7 2	10	2 2	5 2	SP1 D=28 008 days (first orbit)
4054	40 leo	+ 5.6	21	0.5	0.9	Constant velocity
4084		+ 8.2	20	1.6	2.5	Probable constant velocity
4112	36 UMa	+ 9.6	20	0.4	0.7	Constant velocity
4251	• • • •	- 4.0	19	0.4	0.7	Constant velocity
4277 4374/5	47 UMa ξ UMa	+11.8	21 ••	0.4	0.9	Probable constant velocity A is an SBl, P=669.18 days (known orbit); B is an SBl, P=3.9805 days (known orbit); AB is a VB P=59.840 years
4395	λ Crt	+16.4	19	0.7	2.6	SB1, $P=1940$ days (first orbit)
4399	ι Leo	-12.4	20	0.7	1.1	VB, P=192.00 years; SBI, same period (first orbit)
4439	••••	-43.8	21	0.5	0.9	VB, P=72.87 years
4540	β Vir	+ 4.3	21	0.5	0.9	Constant velocity
4/53	18 Com	-40.1	20	3.5	5.6	SBI, P=17.954 days (first orbit)
4/65	ρ CVII α Com	+ 0.7	21	0.4	1.4	VB. $P=25.87$ years
4983	β Com	+ 5.8	20	0.4	1.3	Possible variable velocity
5011	59 Vir	-27.1	21	0.5	1.1	Constant velocity
5185	τ BOO 	-16.2	20	0.5		Constant velocity; ADS 9025B has a CPM
5304	12d Boo	- 4.1	20	0.3	27.6	SB2, P=9.604538 days (improved orbit)
5323	14 Boo	-39.7	20	0.5	1.5	SB1, P=726.6 days (first orbit)
5338	ı Vir	+14.0	20	0.6	1.1	Constant velocity
5365 5404	18 Boo	- 0.3	20	1.0	1.6	Constant velocity Constant velocity, IDS 14218N5210R bas a
5404	0 000	-11.5	20	0.0	1.0	CPM
5447 5487	σ Boo μ Vir	+ 0.3 + 3.9	22 21	0.5 1.4	1.0 2.5	Constant velocity Probable SBl with P∿358 days
5520	م <u>ا</u> ا الم	-21 6	20	0 5	1 0	Constant volocity, HD 5521 bas a CDM
5618	44i Boo	-29.9	20	0.4	0.7	AB observed; constant velocity; VB, P=
5634	45 Boo	-10.4	20	1.1	1.4	Constant velocity
5691	<u>.</u>	-48.7	19	0.4	0.8	Constant velocity
5694	5 Ser	+54.1	20	<u>+</u> 0.4	<u>+</u> 0.7	Possible variable velocity; ADS 9584B has a CPM

HR	Name	(km sec ⁻¹)	n	<p.e.> (Internal</p.e.>	km sec ⁻¹) External	Comments and Conclusions
5723 5868 5914 5933 5954	ε Lib λ Ser χ Her γ Ser 49 Lib	- 8.1 -67.0 -56.4 + 6.1 -20.0	20 20 20 17 20	+ 0.5 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5	+ 5.4 1.1 0.7 0.7 1.6	SB1, P=226.95 days (known orbit) SB1, P=1837 days (first orbit) Constant velocity Constant velocity SB1, P=3100 days (first orbit)
5968 5977/ 5986 6091	ρ CrB 8 ξ Sco θ Dra 	+18.2 - 8.9 -35.1	20 20 19	0.5 0.6 2.3	0.7 12.6 2.5	Constant velocity VB, P=45.69 years; ADS 9909C has a CPM SB1, P=3.07078 days (improved orbit) Constant velocity; IDS 16165N3957B has a CPM
6212	ς Her	-74.4	22	0.5	0.6	VB, P=34.385 years; SB1, same period (known orbit)
6243 6315 6458 6493 6573	20 Oph 19 Dra 72 Her 26 Dra	- 0.8 -20.8 -78.3 + 0.7 -17.2	20 20 19 20 20	0.6 0.4 0.5 1.3 0.4	1.4 8.7 0.8 16.3 0.4	SB1, P=1290 days (first orbit) SB1, P=52.10890 days (improved orbit) Constant velocity SB2, P=26.2765 days (improved orbit) Constant velocity during 1968-1972; VB, P=76.00 years
6594		-40.4	19	0.9	1.1	Constant velocity; ADS 10723B and C have a
6596	ω Dra	- 2.0	20	0.7	15.0	SB2, P=5.27982 days (known SB1); IDS 17375
6636 6701 6710	ψ Dra 35 Dra ζ Ser	-10.9 -25.0 -53.3	19 20 18	0.6 0.5 1.9	0.6 0.4 2.0	Constant velocity; ADS 10759B has a CPM Constant velocity Constant velocity
6775 6850 6927 6985 6987	99 Her 36 Dra Dra 	+ 0.9 -36.2 +34.1 -22.3 -35.4	17 20 19 19 19	0.4 0.5 0.4 0.9 2.7	0.8 0.7 8.0 2.8 2.8	Constant velocity; VB, P=54.7 years Constant velocity SB2, P=280.53 days (known orbit) Probable variable velocity Constant velocity
7061 7172 7261	110 Her 11 Aq1 17 Lyr	+23.2 +14.9 -35.3	18 19 18	0.6 0.6 3.4	1.0 0.6 7.4	Constant velocity Constant velocity SB1, P=49.09 days (first orbit); ADS 12061 P bac - CMM
7441	9 Cyg	-23.3	19	0.7	10.0	SB1, P=1717 days (first orbit); IDS 19309N 2915B has a CPM
7469	Ө Суд	-27.7	21	0.5	0.9	Constant velocity; ADS 12695B has a CPM
7496 7534 7560 7882 7947	17 Cyg ο Cyg β Del	+16.0 + 4.7 + 0.3 -23.0	19 21 20 21 20	0.5 0.5 0.5 1.3	1.4 1.0 1.0 1.4	Variable velocity, P>1500 days Constant velocity; ADS 12913B has a CPM Constant velocity; ADS 13012C has a CPM VB, P=26.65 years; SB1, same period (improved orbit) Constant velocity; ADS 14279A has a CPM
7055	y' Del	- 7.0	10	0.5	0.7	Constant velocity, Abs 14275A has a com
8034	1 Equ	+ 5.0	23	1.7	8.4	SB1, $P=2.03133$ days (first orbit); VB, $P=101.485$ years; ADS 14499C has a CPM
8123 8283 8309	δ Equ 42 Cap μ ¹ Cyg	-15.1 - 2.1 +16.9	22 21 21	0.7 0.4 0.5	1.1 10.0 0.7	VB, P=5./0 years; SB2 (no orbit) SB1, P=13.1740 days (improved orbit) Constant velocity; VB, P=507.5 years
8315	кPeg	-17.1	25	1.2	14.8	SB1, P=5.9716 days (improved orbit); VB, P=11 52 years
8400 8430 8472 8566	16 Cep 1 Peg 37 Peg	-21.3 + 1.4 -20.7 - 1.6	20 20 20 29	0.6 0.5 0.4 2.2	0.7 27.4 0.8 4.1	Constant velocity SB1, P=10.21304 days (known orbit) Constant velocity SB1, P=372 days (first orbit); VB, P=143 y.
8665	Peg	- 5.2	20	0.4	1.2	Probable constant velocity; ADS 16261B has a CPM
8697 8905 8969 9072	Peg Peg Peg Psc	+12.8 -12.9 + 6.5 + 3.9	19 20 49 20	0.4 2.0 0.4 <u>+</u> 1.2	0.8 2.3 0.6 <u>+</u> 1.3	Constant velocity Constant velocity Constant velocity Possible variable velocity

Table 2 (concluded)

a sin i (10⁶ km) f(M) ΗR Period T_0 (JD) К (0-C) $(km sec^{\gamma}]$ е ω (k/s) 9^d209 287° 2439065.14 +9.2 0.45 0.000078 219 2.2 0.7 0.254 +.007 <u>+</u>3.5 <u>+</u>1.1 <u>+</u>.86 +73 +2.13 480¥ 2411221. 0.50 268.6 13^d8208 2440012.71 58.5 +4.9 0.21 196 225 1.0 10.87 0.2685 +28 <u>+</u>.0015 <u>+</u>.13 <u>+</u>.9 <u>+</u>.7 <u>+</u>.01 60.3 2440013.08 +8.6 28 10.97 0.2760 0.29 1.9 assumed <u>+</u>.12 <u>+</u>1.2 <u>+</u>.7 <u>+</u>.02 <u>+</u>4 127^d951 +22.5 218 0.000032 244 2414164.5 1.6 0.55 0.3 2.35 <u>+</u>.027 <u>+</u>5.4 +.2 <u>+</u>.1 <u>+</u>.10 +9 197^d9 458 2439001.0 1.8 -27.7 0.21 340 0.5 4.789 0.0000112 <u>+</u>1.9 +34.5 <u>+</u>.2 <u>+</u>.2 <u>+</u>.13 +43 1d73645 0.000342 544 2439068.26 12.4 -20.0 0.06 110 2.2 0.296 +.00012 +.30 +.9 +.7 +.09 +65 10^d02009 2418911.3 -5.9 660 9.4 0.01 61 1.0 1.295 0.000864 +.00005 +2.7 <u>+</u>.2 <u>+</u>.2 <u>+</u>.02 <u>+</u>96 975^d9 781 2437661.8 +16.4 76 20.26 0.00035 2.2 0.28 0.4 331 d 0 788 2415019.0 21.4 -21.8 0.67 267.7 72.31 0.1378 . . . 24.8 -21.8 0.2145 0.67 87.7 83.80 . . . 2720^y 799 2310195. 0.13 100.6 818 958d 2439391.9 3.0 +3.0 35.30 0.45 180 0.6 0.00191 +34 +90.5 <u>+</u>.4 +.2 +.08 +19 855 1269^d 2440023.3 +2.4 0.00965 4.8 0.49 306 1.0 73.02 +70 +66.4 +.5 +.4 +.06 +17 31¥6 or 2427292 0.76 260.2 63¥1 2420316 0.00 0 3507^d 869 2419459 +12.1 0.30 149 308.2 0.0950 6.7 0.6 +13.5 +138 +.4 <u>+</u>.3 +.05 +11 30^d43907 1210 2423796.914 50.6 +23.6 210 0.68 15.53 1.2 0.1615 +.0001 +.068 <u>+</u>1.4 <u>+</u>1.1 ±.01 <u>+</u>3 +27.0 2423796.699 53.9 0.60 16 assumed 5.1 16.54 0.1950 +.138 +1.8<u>+</u>1.7 +.02 +5 2622^d 1309 2435005 327.9 245.2 ≥7 +4 0.29 0.0831 60^d0 2401 2439545.2 1.7 +16.2 0.46 112 0.0000214 0.5 1.245 <u>+</u>.21 +5.4 <u>+</u>.2 ±.1 <u>+</u>.11 <u>+</u>16 2846 1.9326797 2423429.68 96.2 +22.5 0.03 42 2.555 0.1783 4.9 +.0000006 ±.04 +1.0 <u>+</u>.9 ±.01 +8 assumed 2423428.69 115.9 +23.6 0.01 34 3.080 0.3123 8.3 +220 +1.18 <u>+</u>1.7 <u>+</u>1.6 +.02 2943 40.23 2410088 1.32 -3.77 0.31 65.7 253.6 0.00302 . . . 8467^d 3064 2420757 ≥4 -21.2 0.69 67.7 0.4 337.1 0.02134 3579 21¥85 2433319 4.0 +27.2 0.15 30 434 0.0512 . . .

Table 3 Summary of Orbital Data

HR	Period	т _о (JD)	K γ (km_sec=1)	e	ω	(0-C) (k/s)	a sin i (106 km)	f(M)
3616	1067¥1	2421484		0.81	331°5			
3648	16 ^d 23969 <u>+</u> .00004	2423048.47 <u>+</u> .24	35.3 -14.6 <u>+</u> .3 <u>+</u> .2	0.09 <u>+</u> .01	143 <u>+</u> 5	1.2	7.851	0.0733
3750	917. ^d 1 <u>+</u> 4.9	2439728 <u>+</u> 96	2.2 +56.3 <u>+</u> .4 <u>+</u> .2	0.21 <u>+</u> .10	73 <u>+</u> 32	0.5	26.88	0.000922
3754	116 ⁹ 85	2436769	2.2 -6.0	0.56	124.6	•••	1070	0.0269
3775	371 ^d 0 <u>+</u> 2.9	2439040 <u>+</u> 28	4.3 +13.0 +2.2 +1.4	0.25 <u>+</u> .29	270 <u>+</u> 47	0.3	21.24	0.00278
3928	9 ^d 2847 <u>+</u> .0004	2439162.3 <u>+</u> .09	17.8 -8.8 <u>+</u> .3 <u>+</u> .2	0.07 <u>+</u> .02	88 <u>+</u> 3	0.7	2.267	0.00540
3991	28 [.] 098 <u>+</u> .035	2440271.3 <u>+</u> 4.9	10.1 +7.5 <u>+</u> 1.2 <u>+</u> .8	0.07 <u>+</u> .17	177 <u>+</u> 65	2.6	3.893	0.00298
4374	3 ⁴ 9805		5.04 -15.9	0.00	•••	•••	0.2759	0.000053
4375	669 [.] 18	2418582.0	7.97 -15.0	0.53	320	•••	62.19	0.02145
4374/	5 59 ⁹ 840	2427865	••••	0.414	101.6	•••	•••	•••
4395	1940 ^y <u>+</u> 84	2420136 <u>+</u> 848	6.9 +13.0 <u>+</u> 7.4 <u>+</u> .3	0.62 <u>+</u> .52	90 <u>+</u> 174	0.8	144.4	0.0319
4399	192 ⁹ 00	2432722	2.5 -11.3	0.54	140.7	1.0	2029	0.0678
4439	72 [.] 87	2418171	••••	0.40	311	•••	•••	•••
4753	17 ^d 954 <u>+</u> .015	2439243.5 <u>+</u> 1.7	11.5 -42.5 <u>+</u> 2.9 <u>+</u> 1.9	0.42 <u>+</u> .16	286 <u>+</u> 36	4.3	2.577	0.002120
4785	242999 <u>+</u> 6.5	2413397 <u>+</u> 106	2.6 + 6.5 $\pm 1.0 \pm .3$	0.49 <u>+</u> .20	307 <u>+</u> 16	0.7	75.7	0.00294
4968/	9 25 ^{.9} 87	2419274	••••	0.50	279	•••	•••	•••
5235	494 [.] 17	2428136.2	8.42 +1.01	0.258	326.3	• • •	55.3	0.0276
5304	9 [.] 604538 <u>+</u> .000022	2417680.052 <u>+</u> .084	67.4 +9.1 <u>+</u> .8 <u>+</u> .4	0.189 <u>+</u> .008	290 <u>+</u> 3	1.3	8.74	0.2890
	a s s ume d	2417679.937 <u>+</u> .113	66.5 +9.2 <u>+</u> .9 <u>+</u> .5	0.20 <u>+</u> .01	103 <u>+</u> 5	1.5	8.61	0.2758
5323	726 ⁴ 6 <u>+</u> 6.9	2439271 <u>+</u> 13	2.9 -39.2 <u>+</u> .4 <u>+</u> .4	0.55 <u>+</u> .08	155 <u>+</u> 14	0.7	24.20	0.00107
5618	246 ^y 2	2376962		0.36	48	•••	•••	•••
5723	226 [.] 95 <u>+</u> .23	2414785.12 <u>+</u> .16	14.0 -9.7 <u>+</u> .1 <u>+</u> .3	0.68 <u>+</u> .01	339.5 <u>+</u> .9	0.9	32.03	0.0255
5868	1837 ^d	2440152 <u>+</u> 60	2.8 -6.7 <u>+</u> .7 <u>+</u> .3	0.55 <u>+</u> .18	288 <u>+</u> 10	0.4	59.07	0.1441
5954	3100 ^d <u>+</u> 9.3	2419783 <u>+</u> 224	9.0 -25.7 <u>+</u> .9 <u>+</u> .5	0.13 <u>+</u> .09	100 <u>+</u> 27	1.6	380.4	0.229
5977/	8 45 ⁹ 69	2433698	3.7 -29.4	0.74	348	•••	571	0.0267
5986	3 ^d 07078 <u>+</u> .00001	2439277.02 <u>+</u> .02	25.3 -8.0 +.3 +.2	0.01 +.01	244 <u>+</u> 336	0.8	1.068	0.00516

Table 3 (continued)

HR	Period	T ₀ (JD)	K (km s	ec ^Y 1)	e	ω	(0-C) (k/s)	asini (10 ⁶ km)	f(M)
6212	34 ^y 385	2427201	3.8 <u>+</u> .4	-69.9	0.44 <u>+</u> .02	114° <u>+</u> 2.5	• • •	591.4	0.0524
6243	1290 ^d <u>+</u> 144	2440154 <u>+</u> 201	3.2 <u>+</u> 2.2	+0.2 <u>+</u> .9	0.21 <u>+</u> .56	320 <u>+</u> 65	0.9	55.4	0.00409
6315	52 ^d 10890 <u>+</u> .00002	2439983.57 <u>+</u> .60	17.6 <u>+</u> .2	-20.9 <u>+</u> .2	0.21 <u>+</u> .01	339 <u>+</u> 4	0.6	12.33	0.0276
6493	26 [.] 2765 <u>+</u> .0004	2418411.52 <u>+</u> .30	47.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	+0.4 <u>+</u> 1.0	0.49 <u>+</u> .01	14 <u>+</u> 8	•••	14.96	0.1936
	assumed		50.7 <u>+</u> 1.2				•••	15.91	0.2329
6573	76 ^y 00	2433428	•••		0.16	322	•••	•••	• • •
6596	5.27982 <u>+</u> .00003	2440052.6 <u>+</u> .2	35.4 <u>+</u> .8	-14.4 <u>+</u> .6	0.04 <u>+</u> .02	35 <u>+</u> 11	1.6	2.568	0.0243
	a s s ume d	assumed	44.6 <u>+</u> 2.7				1.3	3.236	0.0485
6775	54 ^y 7	2430251			0.71	256	•••	•••	•••
6927	280 ⁴ 531 <u>+</u> .011	2422440.16 <u>+</u> .60	18.0 <u>+</u> .1	+32.5	0.452 <u>+</u> .006	122.6 <u>+</u> 1.2	•••	61.8	0.120
	a s s ume d	assumed	23.6 <u>+</u> 1.6				• • •	81.2	0.272
7261	49 [.] 09 <u>+</u> .09	2439360.5 <u>+</u> 2.8	11.9 <u>+</u> 1.8	-35.2 <u>+</u> 1.1	0.48 <u>+</u> .16	223 <u>+</u> 6	4.5	7.047	0.00580
7441	1717 [.] 3 <u>+</u> 3.1	2420553 <u>+</u> 39	15.7 <u>+</u> 1.0	-17.1 <u>+</u> .7	0.71 <u>+</u> .04	135 <u>+</u> 8	3.7	261.1	0.241
7882	26.95 assumed	2429309 <u>+</u> 286	7.6 <u>+</u> 3.4	-24.1 <u>+</u> .9	0.48 <u>+</u> .19	190 <u>+</u> 9	1.6	563.8	0.0755
8034	2 ^d 03133 <u>+</u> .00010	2440051.36 <u>+</u> .11	15.8 <u>+</u> 1.3	+7.8 <u>+</u> .7	0.17 <u>+</u> .09	51 <u>+</u> 20	2.9	0.435	0.000796
	101 ⁹ 485	2422459		•••	0.705	340.2	•••		•••
8123	5 ⁹ 70	2419683	20.7	-15.2	0.42	169	•••	538	1.433
		assumed	21.3				•••	553	1.561
8283	13 [.] 17399 <u>+</u> .00014	2440051.75 <u>+</u> .19	23.2 <u>+</u> .5	-1.3 <u>+</u> .3	0.18 <u>+</u> .02	177 <u>+</u> 6	1.0	4.134	0.0163
8309	507¥5	2437848	•••	•••	0.58	340	•••	•••	•••
8315	5 [.] 9716 <u>+</u> .0012	2440050.69 <u>+</u> .03	34.2 <u>+</u> .6	-5.7 <u>+</u> .5	0.06 <u>+</u> .02	15 <u>+</u> 5	1.7	2.803	0.0247
	11 ^y 52	2418621		•••	0.30	131	•••	•••	•••
8430	10 [.] 21304 <u>+</u> .00002	2427364.96 <u>+</u> .27	49.1 <u>+</u> .3	-4.6 <u>+</u> .3	0.008 <u>+</u> .007	240 <u>+</u> 50	0.9	6.895	0.1255
8566	372.4 <u>+</u> 2.1	2440042 <u>+</u> 11	8.2 <u>+</u> 1.2	0.0 <u>+</u> .9	0.48 <u>+</u> .07	208 <u>+</u> 20	2.0	36.8	0.0144
	143 ^y 0	2419220	•••	• • •	0.56	213	•••	•••	

Table 3 (concluded)

NOTES TO TABLES 2 AND 3

HR 82: The current velocities are 5 km s⁻¹ larger than the 12 published ones from 1915–1926. HR 219: The visual orbit is by Strand (1969). His conclusion that the primary is 0.7 mag overluminous may be due in part to its spectroscopic duplicity. The other visual components (C-H) are probably optical ones.

HR 225: The two components of this double-lined system give slightly discordant results (see Table 3). In Fig. 1 we assumed for the computed curves the values of γ , e, and ω of the primary. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 1.09 and 1.05 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively. The visual companions listed in the IDS are probably optical ones.

HR 235: Only one velocity is discordant. HR 244: The solution includes six Lick measures by Campbell and Moore (1928).

HR 244: The solution includes size Like inductors of companion. HR 417: ADS 1152C is an optical companion. HR 544: The present elements agree with Harper's (1915) within the probable errors; the period is from the combined data. The visual companions listed in the IDS are probably optical ones. HR 660: The present elements agree with those by Pearce (1923) except for the period; he estimated incorrectly (by 4) the number

of elapsed cycles between his early and later observations. The elements listed are from the combined data. The visual companion

(ADS 1739B) is probably an optical one. HR 781: Visual binary (IDS 02347S1218AB) with elements by Baize (1962). We assumed his P, T_0 , e, and ω to obtain K and γ . However, since $\Delta V = 0.0$ mag, we suspect that the lines are blends and K_1 is actually larger.

HR 788: The orbital elements are by Colacevich (1941). Our spectra did not generally resolve the double lines.

HR 799: ADS 2081AB; companion C is probably an optical one. The elements quoted are by Hopmann (1958). HR 855: The four 1917–1921 measures from the Lick Observatory (Campbell and Moore 1928) would fit the spectroscopic orbit if P = 1256 days and $\gamma = 9$ km s⁻¹ at that time. It is not clear how much the 1269 day spectroscopic orbit is affected by motion in the visual orbit of 31.6 or 63.1 years (van den Bos 1938). HR 869: The orbital solution includes five 1918–1920 observations (Abt 1970) from the Mount Wilson Observatory.

HR 937: There is one published measure of a visual companion at 46"; its physical association with the primary is indeterminate.

HR 962: Only the first two velocities deviate significantly from the mean. Anderson and Kraft (1972) found the velocity to be constant.

HR 1129: The spectral type of A1 V + G2 III (Slettebak 1955) of this composite system places it outside the present program. The velocity measures for the G star suggest a period of roughly 5 years. It is not a known visual double.

HR 1210: The present data alone give orbital elements that agree with those by Harper (1928) within the combined probable errors. The results listed in Table 3 are from the combined data. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 0.82 and 0.77 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively. HR 1306: The spectral type of G5 Ib + A places this system outside the present program. The present velocities for the G star

fit Osawa's (1957) orbit.

HR 1309: VB with an orbit by Finsen (1962). The spectral lines were measured as single lines and show a variation consistent with the visual period of 7.18 years. However, the observed amplitude of 7 km s⁻¹ is only half that expected, and the lines show weak shortward components. The system is probably double-lined and unresolvable because $V \sin i = 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. But then what are the shortward line components?

HR 1543: The visual companion is probably an optical one. HR 1686: The visual companion (ADS 3864B) is evidently an optical one. HR 1729: The visual companions (ADS 3886C, D) are evidently optical ones.

HR 1725: The visual companions (ADS 50606, D) are evidently optical ones. HR 1780: The visual companion (IDS 05186N1716B) is evidently an optical one. HR 2047: If the velocity is variable, the period is very long.

HR 2220: The visual companions (ADS 4842B, C) are probably optical ones. HR 2401: The least-squares solution gave no improvement.

HR 2483: The visual companion (ADS 5425B) is evidently an optical one.

HR 2846: The present data give orbital elements that agree within the probable errors with those by Harper (1925b). The elements listed in Table 3 are from the combined data. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 1.05 and 0.87 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively

HR 2849: The distant companion (IDS 07223N4952B) is evidently an optical one. HR 2943: The orbital elements by Jones (1928) were assumed and the system was used as a velocity standard. HR 3064: The visual orbit is by Woolley and Symms (1937). Spectroscopic elements have been published by Struve (1923). The present measures agree with the visual orbit but are insufficient for an independent determination; we assumed P, T_0 , e, and

The present measures agree with the visual orbit of an unresolved SB2 in which K_1 is larger than 4 km s⁻¹. HR 3579: The visual orbit for IDS 08542N4211AB is by Heintz (1967a). Components C-E are evidently optical ones. Pre-liminary spectroscopic elements have been published by Underhill (1963). Her velocities and the present ones fit the velocity vari-ation predicted from Heintz's visual orbit. We suspect that her 1958-1962 velocities are 2 km s⁻¹ high, although DAO standards had here mentioned during the action chemism interview. We communicate the formation of the f had been monitored during the entire observing interval. We assumed Heintz's P, T_0 , e, and ω to derive approximate values for K_1 and -

HR 3616: The visual orbital elements are by Baize (1948). There is slight evidence for a slow velocity increase, but spectroscopic orbital elements are indeterminate at present. The companion ADS 7203C is probably an optical one.
 HR 3648: The present orbital elements do not differ significantly from those by Young (1923), except for a possible decrease in w from 169° ± 8° to the present 128° ± 6°. The elements listed are from the combined data.
 HR 3760: Listed is the solution on two measures has Anderson and Kreft (1020). This stera is a visual pair (IDS 0022850538).

HR 3750: Included in the solution are two measures by Anderson and Kraft (1972). This star is a visual pair (IDS 09228S0538) without known orbital elements.

HR 3754: Although the present measures, obtained during 4 years, show no significant variation, combination with the 1905– 1917 measures listed by Frost (1918) and the 1921–1922 measures from the Mount Wilson Observatory (Abt 1970) and fitted to the orbital elements from the visual orbit (Muller 1957) yielded the listed K_1 and γ . However, the lines might be double, judging by the magnitude difference of the visual pair.

HR 3775: The least-squares solution gave no improvement to the orbital elements. The faint CPM companion is at 4" and the period for that pair must be much longer than the spectroscopic period of 371 days.

HR 3881: Only one measure deviates significantly from the mean velocity.

HR 3928: The present measures give elements similar to those by Harper (1925a), but with smaller errors. The elements listed

in Table 3 were derived from the present measures only. HR 4251: The visual companion IDS 10486S1935B is evidently an optical one. HR 4374/5: HR 4374 = ADS 8119B is a known SB1 (Berman 1931) with a period of 349805. HR 4375 = ADS 8119A is a known SB1 (van den Bos 1928) with a period of 669418 and a VB (Heintz 1967b) with the same period. A and B form a VB (Heintz 1967b) with a period of 59.840 years. Berman derived values of the masses of A and B but did not publish the velocity amplitudes.

HR 4395: Also included in the orbital solution are the measures by Campbell and Moore (1928). The velocity had previously been considered (Campbell 1922) to be variable. HR 4399: This is a VB (ADS 8148AB) with orbital elements by Baize (1952). Because the system is rather eccentric and peri-

astron was in 1948, the published (Campbell and Moore 1928; Harper 1933; Petrie 1949) and present measures seem to show a significant variation. The elements K_1 and γ were derived after assumption of the other elements from Baize. HR 4439: This is a VB (ADS 8197AB) with orbital elements by Heintz (1971). The present velocities plus previously published

ones (Campbell and Moore 1928; Harper 1923) do not fit the visual orbital elements. HR 4540: The visual companions (IDS 11454N0219B, C) are evidently optical ones.

HR 4968/9: This is a VB (ADS 8804AB) with orbital elements by Haffner (1948).

HR 4983: The present measures show no rapid variation but a possible period of 5 years or more. The visual companion (IDS 13072N2823B) is evidently an optical one.

HR 5185: ADS 9025AB is an apparent physical pair with an unknown period. HR 5235: This SB1 has known orbital elements by Bertiau (1957). Our measures give similar elements, but Bertiau's are more accurate and are listed in Table 3. An astrometric study (Daniel and Burns 1939) confirmed the period.

HR 5304: This SB2 has known orbital elements by Harper (1916). Our elements agree with his within the probable errors. The errors are smaller if only the present measures are used, except for the period, which is derived from the combined data. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 1.13 and 1.14 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively. HR 5365: The visual companion (IDS 14145N1328B) is probably an optical one.

HR 5404: IDS 14218N5219B has not changed position relative to A in 64 years. HR 5447: IDS 14218N5219B has not changed position relative to A in 64 years. HR 5487: The measures are not well enough distributed in phase to derive orbital elements. HR 5618: ADS 9494AB has orbital elements by Heintz (1963).

HR 5634: Both visual companions (IDS 15029N2516B, C) seem to be optical ones. HR 5694: There might be a period of 1500 days in the velocities. ADS 9584B has a CPM.

HR 5723: The present orbital elements agree with those by Jones (1931); the elements derived by her are listed.

HR 5868: The 1898-1926 measures by Campbell and Moore (1928) were used to find the period, which was then held constant, and only the present measures were used to derive the other orbital elements listed. HR 5933: The visual companion IDS 15518N1559B is an optical one. HR 5954: The analysis includes the 1915-1921 velocities from the Mount Wilson Observatory (Abt 1973). The visual companion

(IDS 15547S1614B) is evidently an optical one.

HR 5968: The visual companion (IDS 15573N3337B) is evidently an optical one.

HR 5905. The visual companion (IDS 1537)(V557) is evidently an optical one. HR 5977/8: Orbital elements for ADS 9909AB have been published by Baize (1942). Approximate spectroscopic orbital elements based on spectra of the unresolved pair have been published by Chang (1929) and are listed, but the magnitudes are sufficiently similar ($\Delta V = 0.3$ mag) that the system is probably really an SB2. The small masses suggest that K_1 has been underestimated; the computed values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 0.48 and 0.45 \mathfrak{M}_0 for the primary and secondary, respectively.

HR 5986: The present measures give orbital elements that are similar to those published by Luyten (1936), except for a larger K_1 (25.3 \pm 0.3 km s⁻¹) than Luyten's value (23.4 \pm 0.3 km s⁻¹). We list the present elements only, but a period derived from both sets of measures.

HR 6091: IDS 16165N3957B has a CPM.

HR 6212: ADS 10157AB is a VB with orbital elements by Baize (1949). Spectroscopic elements have been published by Berman (1941). The elements listed are mostly from the latter source. The present measures roughly fit the minimum of Berman's curve.

HR 6315: The present measures give orbital elements that agree within the probable errors with the earlier ones by Harper (1935). The listed period comes from a comparison of both sets of data, and the remaining elements come from the present measures only

HR 6458: The visual companion (ADS 10488B) evidently does not have a CPM. HR 6493: The present measures give orbital elements that agree well with those by Parker (1915). His elements are based on more data and are probably better; they are listed except for a period derived from the combined data. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 0.88 and 0.83 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively.

HR 6573: ADS 10660ÅB has visual orbital elements by Baize (1965). The present measures show no significant change during 3.5 years, but the mean is substantially lower than for the published measures. HR 6594: ADS 10723B and C both seem to have a CPM with A.

HR 6596: Luyten (1936) has rediscussed Turner's (1907) observations. The present measures give similar but not necessarily more accurate orbital elements. However, the present spectra resolve the secondary components at elongations, so the present elements are listed, except for a period derived from both sets of measures. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 0.16 and 0.12 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively. The visual companion (IDS 17375N6848B) seems to have a CPM. HR 6636: ADS 10759B evidently has a CPM with A, but not components C and D.

HR 6775: ADS 11077AB has orbital elements published by Makemson (1958). There is no significant evidence for variability in the present or published velocities.

HR 6927: Spectroscopic orbital elements have been published by Crawford (1928), Vinter-Hansen (1942), and Spite (1967). The present measures yield orbital elements that agree with the previous ones within the probable errors. Vinter-Hansen's elements seem to be the best determined and are listed, except for Spite's measures of the secondary. The values of $\mathfrak{M} \sin^3 i$ are 1.48 and 1.13 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} for the primary and secondary, respectively. An astrometric orbit by Breakiron and Gatewood (1974) leads to the individual masses. The visual companion (IDS 18229N7241B) seems to be an optical one.

HR 6985: We did not succeed in determining the period. HR 6987: The two visual companions (ADS 11496B, C) are probably optical ones.

HR 7020: This pulsating star may have a CPM companion in ADS 11581C. Extensive measures by Paddock and Struve (1954) indicate no variation in the mean pulsational velocity.

HR 7061: The four visual companions in ADS 11658 all seem to be optical ones. HR 7172: The two visual companions in ADS 11902 seem to be optical ones. HR 7261: These are poor determinations of the orbital elements of this relatively broad-lined star. Only component B of the many components in ADS 12061 has a CPM with A.

HR 7441: The analysis includes measures published by Plaskett et al. (1920), Campbell and Moore (1928), Harper (1933), and Abt (1973); the large scatter may be due to the variety of the material used. There is a close visual companion (IDS 19309N2915B) of nearly the same brightness ($\Delta V = 0.5$ mag), and the spectrum of the unresolved pair has been called composite (Schlesinger 1930), but we think that the metallic lines measured come from only the F5 component. The spectroscopic motion probably refers to the visual pair.

HR 7469: ADS 12695B has a CPM with A, but not component C.

HR 7534: ADS 12913B has a CPM with A, but not component C. HR 7560: ADS 13012C has a CPM with A, but not component B.

1976ApJS...30..273A

ABT AND LEVY

HR 7882: Orbital elements have been published for ADS 14073 by Couteau (1962). Spectroscopic orbital elements have been published by Underhill (1963). Her measures and the present tones have been combined to give the elements listed, although Couteau's period is assumed. The eccentricity is not well determined because the minimum of the velocity curve has not been well defined.

period is assumed. The eccentricity is not well determined because the minimum of the velocity curve has not been wen defined. The other visual companions (C-E) seem to be optical ones. HR 7947: This star has a CPM with the brighter K1 V companion ADS 14279A = HR 7948 = γ^2 Del. HR 7955: The visual companion (IDS 20429N5713B) seems to be an optical one. HR 8034: ADS 14499AB has orbital elements by Zeller (1965). Component C has a CPM. HR 8123: ADS 14477AB has orbital elements by Luyten and Ebbighausen (1934), van de Kamp and Lippincott (1945), and Wehlau (1955). The difference in brightness is only 0.1 mag. Our spectra show double lines at times, but they are too difficult to measure. The spectra of the two stars are very similar (Wehlau 1955). Campbell and Moore (1928) measured double lines on many spectra taken near periastron: Luyten and Ebbighausen used those measures to derive a mass ratio of 1.03, but the resultant values spectra taken near periastron; Luyten and Ebighausen used those measures to derive a mass ratio of 1.03, but the resultant values of $K_1 = 20.7$ and $K_2 = 21.3$ km s⁻¹ give unrealistically large masses and mass functions. Rightfully, these elements have been questioned by Batten (1967). Only the visual data on this system are used in the analysis.

HR 8283: The present measures yield orbital elements that agree very well with those published by Sanford (1942). Our elements are listed, except for a period derived from the combined data.

HR 8309: The visual system ADS 15270AB has orbital elements by Heintz (1966); the other two components are probably optical ones.

HR 8315: ADS 15281AB is a known (Luyten 1934) triple system with a spectroscopic period of 597152 for star A and a period of 11.52 years for the visual pair. Our orbital elements for the 5 day binary differ significantly from Luyten's in K_1 and γ , and possibly in ω . Our derived change in γ during 4 years is marginal and does not agree with an amplitude even as high as 2.0 km s⁻¹. Our elements for A and Luyten's for AB are listed. Component C is probably an optical one. HR 8400: The visual companion (IDS 21578N7242B) is probably an optical one.

HR 8430: Our orbital elements agree well with the published ones by Petrie and Phibbs (1949), and a comparison of the two sets of data gives exactly the Petrie-Phibbs period. Our elements are listed, mostly for a comparison. The visual companion (IDS

22023N2452B) is probably an optical one. HR 8455: The brightness of this star as listed in Hoffleit (1964) is incorrect (Eggen 1968), and the star should not have been included in the program. The star is a dwarf (Kennedy and Buscombe 1974).

HR 8472: The visual companion (IDS 22081N5620B) is evidently an optical one. HR 8566: ADS 15988AB has visual orbital elements by Jastrzebski (1960) and Knipe (1960); the former are listed.

HR 8665: ADS 16261B has a CPM with A; companion C is evidently an optical one. HR 8969: The visual companion (IDS 23348N0505B) is evidently an optical one. HR 9072: The published 24158 period (Beardsley 1965) is not confirmed; our velocity range of 6.4 km s⁻¹ is much less than the published ranges of 18 and 13 km s⁻¹. However, this star may have a variation with a period of several decades.

Kuiper 1935, 1942; Jaschek and Jaschek 1957; Petrie 1960; Jaschek and Gomez 1970) gave very different binary frequencies for stars at the middle of the main sequence, namely 18 to 54 percent spectroscopic duplicity; (2) those studies were based on published data that were subject to serious selection effects, and allowance was usually not made for those effects; and (3) the studies often did not include all kinds of binaries, namely spectroscopic binaries, visual doubles, and common-proper-motion pairs. In addition, the apparent variation in duplicity along the main sequence from 72 percent for B3 V stars (Kodaira 1971) to 10 percent for dwarf K and M stars (Wilson 1967) does not include a factor for the increased difficulty in detecting spectroscopic binaries while progressing to low-mass stars, and therefore does not necessarily represent a real difference.

This study concentrates on the bright field stars of types F3-G2 IV or V. The range was selected for the following reasons: (1) to avoid the region of the Am and Ap stars, which terminates at F1 or F2; (2) to concentrate on stars with narrow lines so that accurate radial velocities can be determined; (3) to include the stars of solar type for comparison with the solar system; (4) to stop at G2 because later-type dwarfs tend to be rare among the brighter stars. In addition, the selection of stars brighter than V = 5.5 mag includes stars that have been studied well by visual observers. The southern declination limit was -20° . The selection includes the stars with $0.25 \le (b - y) \le 0.40$ in the four-color system (Strömgren and Perry, unpublished) and those classified F3-G2 IV or V by Hoffleit (1964). No new observations were obtained for three closely spaced visual systems (HR 4374-5, 4968-9, 5977-8), but

the known data for them were used. Several stars were observed and their velocities are listed, but they were later eliminated from consideration because they were too late in type (HR 3771, 8455) or too luminous (HR 1129, 1306). A total of 135 systems remain in the program.

II. SPECTROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS

For each of the program stars we obtained approximately 20 coudé spectra with the 2.1 m coudé spectrograph at reciprocal dispersions of 13.3 Å mm⁻¹ (before JD 2,441,525) or 16.9 Å mm⁻¹ later. Generally nine stellar lines of Fe I ($\lambda\lambda$ 4045, 4063, 4071, 4383, 4404, and 4415), H I (H δ , H γ), and Ca I λ 4226 were measured on a Grant profile comparator, using laboratory wavelengths (Moore 1945). In addition, we measured 22 spectra of Vega, 61 of Procyon (Jones [1928] orbital elements were assumed), and 14 of Arcturus, observed concurrently, to provide corrections to the Lick system (Moore 1932) of radial velocities. The corrections applied were $+3.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (JD 2,439,068-2,439,100), -1.7(2,439,128-2,440,052),(2,440,053–2,441,524), and $+6.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ +0.7(2,441,525-2,441,591).

Table 1 lists the corrected radial velocity and internal probable error for each heliocentric date. Table 2 lists the program stars. The third column gives the mean velocities, ρ , obtained from the present velocities only (these often differ from the γ -velocities in cases of binaries). The fourth column gives the number of measures, n. The fifth and sixth columns list the mean internal and external probable errors per observation, respectively; if the latter exceeds twice the former for a



FIG. 1.—Measured velocities (*circles*) and computed velocity curves for nine newly discovered spectroscopic binaries. Note the different vertical scales. In cases where measures were averaged (*larger circles*), the sizes of the circles are roughly proportional to the number of measures.



FIG. 2.—Measured velocities and computed velocity curves for 11 new spectroscopic binaries. The \times 's refer to published measures from other observatories. Larger symbols refer to averages of several measures. Several curves (HR 3064, 3754, 4399) are based on visual orbital elements.



FIG. 3.—Computed velocity curves and measured velocities for six new spectroscopic binaries. The symbols are explained under Figs. 1 and 2.

star, the chances are large that its velocity is variable. The last column gives comments and conclusions about duplicity. No star is called a spectroscopic binary unless orbital elements have been derived. The notes to Tables 2 and 3 give many details and references about individual systems.

The orbital elements for the spectroscopic binaries are listed in Table 3. Orbital elements are generally not quoted unless the observations were good enough to permit a least-squares improvement of the preliminary elements. The least-squares solution was programmed for the observatory's CDC 6400 computer by John Varga. In a few cases the visual orbital elements were assumed, and some or all of the spectroscopic elements were then derived. In the case of double-lined systems, the period (and sometimes the epoch of periastron) from the primary was assumed in deriving elements for the secondary; in such cases the assumed elements are so marked in Table 3. The (O - C) values in Table 3 are 0.6745 times the rms difference between the observed and computed velocities; if those values are twice the mean internal probable errors, no further improvement is likely to be made in the elements by using the present measures. There is an inconsistency in the longitudes of periastron, ω : visual observers list the values for the secondary orbit while spectroscopic observers give the value for the primary, which differs by 180°. This inconsistency remains in the values listed.

The measured velocities and computed curves are shown in Figures 1–3 for the newly found systems only. In some cases the agreement between the measures and curves is marginal or poor. In the case of smallamplitude binaries, the periods are especially in doubt, but generally not by a large factor because the extensive data were searched for short periods before long periods were tried. The bright systems that have large velocity amplitudes were analyzed decades ago, and so most of the new ones shown here are the difficult cases that had been neglected.

There are several stars (e.g., HR 4983, 5487, 5694, 6985, 7496, and 9072) that seem to be variable in velocity but for which we did not succeed in deriving orbital elements. Even including these stars, we have no program stars without orbital elements in which the external probable errors exceed the internal ones by more than a factor of 4.0, or for which the external probable errors are greater than 2.8 km s⁻¹. Thus the list of spectroscopic binaries in Table 2 probably represents essentially all the ones with $K_1 > 3$ km s⁻¹ and many of the ones with $1.5 \le K_1 \le 3.0$ km s⁻¹.

III. THE HIGH-VELOCITY STARS

Previous studies (Abt and Levy 1969; Crampton and Hartwick 1972) have shown that spectroscopic binaries are relatively rare among field high-velocity dwarfs, that the difference between high- and low-velocity stars is probably the greatest for the shortest periods, and that the binary frequency decreases with increasingly higher space motions. Therefore it seems reasonable to separate the low- and high-velocity stars and to discuss them separately.

The high-velocity stars can be isolated either with four-color photometry (Strömgren 1964) or from space motions computed from proper motions, parallaxes, and radial velocities. In using data by Eggen (1964), we used the latter method because the data are more complete for our program stars.

Table 4 lists all of the program stars with space motions (Eggen 1964) in excess of 63 km s⁻¹. Available data (Hoffleit 1964) indicate that none of the stars not listed by Eggen would qualify. Therefore this list of high-velocity program stars seems to be complete. Using four-color data from Strömgren and Perry (unpublished) or other sources listed by Lindemann and Hauck (1973), and the technique of Strömgren (1964), we can derive the Strömgren-group assignments; these are also listed in Table 4. Both the lack of space motions greater than 100 km s⁻¹ and the paucity of stars in Strömgren groups III–V show that these are intermediate high-velocity stars, not extreme ones. Finally, we list in Table 4 the conclusions (from Table 2) regarding duplicity.

By the definitions used below, four out of the 12 stars listed in Table 4 are double (spectroscopically, visually, or with common-proper-motion [CPM] companions). This fraction of 33 percent seems smaller than the 72 percent frequency of companions found below for the low-velocity stars. For binary periods less than 100 days, the relative binary frequencies for high- and low-velocity stars are 8 and 24 percent, respectively. If we consider only the stars with space motions greater than 70 km s⁻¹, there are 25 percent binaries and zero percent with periods less than 100 days. Thus these fragmentary data support the conclusions quoted above for high-velocity stars.

In the remaining analysis we will delete the highvelocity stars and discuss only the 123 low-velocity ones.

IV. THE COMMON-PROPER-MOTION STARS

The program stars include many members of visual pairs that seem to be gravitationally bound as shown by their CPMs, but evidently have periods so long that orbital elements have not been derived for them. It is appropriate to include them among the double stars in the sample, even if periods are lacking. One can obtain periods that are statistically valid, even though the numbers may be very inaccurate for individual systems.

If we observe at random times a visual pair in an edge-on circular orbit, the mean angular separation is $2/\pi$ times the maximum angular separation. Of course the discovery rate may be higher when the stars are well separated, but not too far apart. For face-on circular orbits the observed separation is always the maximum separation. For elliptical motion the ratio between the time-averaged angular separation and the semimajor axis of the relative orbit depends on the eccentricity, the longitude of periastron, the inclination of the orbit, and a complicated discovery factor that depends on the angular separation. Since we are interested only in statistical periods good to the nearest factor of 10, we will make the simplifying assumption that the semimajor axis of the relative orbit is $\pi/2$ times the current separation. The known parallaxes of these stars can be used to derive linear separations from the angular separations, and assumptions about the masses (derived from their spectral types or the assumption that the secondaries are also main-sequence stars) will yield periods from Kepler's laws.

HR Number	Space Motion (km s ⁻¹)	Strömgren Group	Conclusions Regarding Multiplicity
660	68	II	SB1, $P = 10^{d}02009$
937	91	I	Constant velocity
1729	86	I	Constant velocity
5691	68	I	Constant velocity
5694	94	I	Possible variable velocity; CPM companion
5868	68	T	SB1. $P = 1837^{d}$
5914	79	īv	Constant velocity
5933	81	Î	Constant velocity
5968	78	π	Constant velocity
6212	77	Ť	VB and SB1 $P = 34.385$ years
6/58	07	ÎTT	Constant velocity
8607	67	III	Constant velocity
0071	07	11	Constant velocity

TABLE 4 High-Velocity Stars

 TABLE 5

 The Common-Proper-Motion Stars

V _A (mag)	V _B (mag)	Separation
5.0	8.5	49".7
4.9	11.6	2.0
5.2	9.9	14.1
5.1	11.5	3.3
5.3	10.1	75.3
5.2	9.4	42.9
5.4	6.2	0.4
3.2	13.9	4.1
4.5	11.1	5.4
4.1	11.1	69.2
5.2	2.8	231.0
4.8	7.1	7.6
5.4	10.6	2.0
5.4	9.9	0.6
5.4	12.2	155.5
4.8	13.1	72.3
4.9	6.1	30.3
5.0	9.1	3.4
5.3	5.8	0.1
4.5	12.8	4.2
5.0	9.2	26.0
5.1	13.6	22.5
5.1	4.2	10.0
5.3	6.6	10.5
4.2	12.2	11.8
	$\begin{array}{c} V_{A} \\ (mag) \\ \hline 5.0 \\ 4.9 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.4 \\ 3.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 5.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.1 \\ 5.2 \\ 4.8 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.3 \\ 4.2 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccc} V_A & V_B \\ (mag) & (mag) \\ \hline \\ 5.0 & 8.5 \\ 4.9 & 11.6 \\ 5.2 & 9.9 \\ 5.1 & 11.5 \\ 5.3 & 10.1 \\ 5.2 & 9.4 \\ 5.4 & 6.2 \\ 3.2 & 13.9 \\ 4.5 & 11.1 \\ 4.1 & 11.1 \\ 5.2 & 2.8 \\ 4.8 & 7.1 \\ 5.4 & 10.6 \\ 5.4 & 9.9 \\ 5.4 & 12.2 \\ 4.8 & 13.1 \\ 4.9 & 6.1 \\ 5.0 & 9.1 \\ 5.3 & 5.8 \\ 4.5 & 12.8 \\ 5.0 & 9.2 \\ 5.1 & 13.6 \\ 5.1 & 4.2 \\ 5.3 & 6.6 \\ 4.2 & 12.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$

Table 5 lists 25 CPM pairs among the low-velocity stars; the high-velocity star HR 5694 is thereby excluded. The data come mostly from Jeffers, van den Bos, and Greeby (1963). The differences in visual magnitude range up to 10.7 mag and average 4.9 mag. The separations range from 0.1 to 231" and average 34". We will refrain from listing the computed periods because individual values will be very inaccurate, but the range is from 3.5 to 820,000 years with a mean of 67,000 years and a median of 3100 years. In the case (HR 7441) of the shortest period, the single-lined spectroscopic binary (SB1) with period of 4.7 years may coincide with the motion in the CPM pair. The statistical periods are discussed below with those from the other binaries.

V. OBSERVED FREQUENCY OF MULTIPLE SYSTEMS

Prior to this study, the 123 low-velocity stars in the program included 21 spectroscopic binaries (15 SB1, 6 SB2) with known orbital elements. We added 21 spectroscopic binaries (20 SB1, 1 SB2), changed one known SB1 to an SB2, and added four SB1 orbits to visual binary (VB) systems with known orbital elements. There are 23 VBs with known orbital elements, of which five have spectroscopic orbits. There are 25 CPM pairs, of which one is probably an SB1.

In total, we count 71 multiple systems (58 percent) having 88 companions (72 percent). These involve 57 double systems, 11 triples, and three quadruples. The ratios of observed singles:doubles:triples:quadruples are 42:46:9:2.

We shall see below that our inability to detect certain double systems will make the actual frequency of single stars drastically lower.



FIG. 4.—The frequencies of binary orbital periods expressed on a logarithmic scale in days (*below*) and years (*above*). The crosshatched section refers to systems with known visual orbital elements and overlaps the spectroscopic binary region (defined by solid lines). The periods for the CPM pairs are statistical and are very uncertain. One CPM pair may coincide with an SB orbit; that overlap has not been indicated.

VI. PERIOD DISTRIBUTION

The distribution of periods for the 88 known or estimated periods is shown in Figure 4. We see a relatively smooth distribution with one maximum. This distribution is reassuring because it means that there is enough of an overlap between the spectroscopic binaries and visual binaries that a bimodal distribution did not develop: if we studied the early-type stars where rotationally broadened lines prevent the discovery of many of the spectroscopic binaries with long periods, and the greater distances of most of the stars prevent the detection of most of the short-period visual pairs, we would expect a bimodal distribution.

The median period for the 88 pairs plotted in Figure 4 is 14 years. This is considerably shorter than the values of 320 years obtained by Luyten (1930) or 79 years by Kuiper (1935). Far fewer spectroscopic binaries were known to the latter two researchers, whereas their knowledge of visual systems was comparable to ours.

Finally, we see a range in periods of a factor of 10^8 . Huang (private communication) feels that no single formation process will produce binaries with such a wide range of periods.

VII. DISTRIBUTION IN A COLOR-MAGNITUDE DIAGRAM

We wish to find out whether there is a tendency for the younger stars (near the zero-age main sequence [ZAMS]) to have a higher frequency of binaries, or possibly for the evolved stars to be richer in binaries on grounds that they might become trapped in an exchange process and be unable to evolve into giants. We might expect the later-type program stars to have fewer spectroscopic binaries than the earlier ones because the lower masses cause smaller velocity amplitudes. However, it is not clear whether, as Wilson (1966) pointed out, the observed decrease is not due

		TAI	BL	Е	6						
DISTRIBUTION	OF	STARS	IN	A	Δc_1 .	b	_	v	DIA	GRA	м

Period Range (years)	n	$\langle \Delta c_1 \rangle$ and p.e. in the Mean (mag)	Stars with $\Delta c_1 < 0.05$	Stars with $\Delta c_1 > 0.05$	$\langle b - y \rangle$ and p.e. in the Mean (mag)	Stars with $b - y < 0.32$	Stars with $b - y > 0.32$
10^{-3} to 1	19	0.069 + 0.010	6	13	0.309 ± 0.007	13	6
1 to 10 ²	17	0.062 ± 0.008	7	10	0.324 + 0.008		9
10^2 to 10^4	10	0.051 ± 0.008	5	5	0.303 ± 0.009	6	4
No companions	48	0.064 ± 0.005	22	26	0.317 ± 0.004	25	32

primarily to observational selection effects or to a real decrease in binary frequency.

Some of these questions might be answered with four-color photometry and the present data on binaries. There is published (Lindemann and Hauck 1973) fourcolor photometry for 102 out of the 123 low-velocity program stars. This, plus H β photometry, can be used (e.g., Crawford 1973) to obtain evolutionary departures, Δc_1 , above the ZAMS and colors, b - y, along the main sequence. We are grateful to D. C. Crawford and J. V. Barnes for some unpublished additional photometric data.

We divided the stars into three groups by periods, as listed in Table 6, plus one group having no companions. For triple or quadruple systems we used the shortest period. Figure 5 is a plot of pseudo color-magnitude diagram in which the ordinate is the evolutionary departure from the ZAMS. In a standard colormagnitude diagram a double-lined system should be about 0.7 mag high, but in this diagram it will fall at the average evolutionary place for the two components. The symbols in Figure 5 represent three of the four groups; the other one $(10^2 < P < 10^4 \text{ years})$ was excluded to avoid too much confusion in the diagram.

Figure 5 looks like a pure scatter diagram for each symbol. There is no obvious trend for the short-period binaries to fall high or low, or toward the left or right relative to the other stars.

We list in Table 6 some statistics for the distributions

in the diagram. The second column lists the number of stars. The mean values of Δc_1 show no significant differences between groups. The numbers of stars below or above $\Delta c_1 = 0.05$ mag show no statistically significant differences. Similarly there is no significant difference in mean color, $\langle b - y \rangle$, or in the numbers of stars left or right of b - y = 0.32 mag.

There is a qualification to this apparent lack of tendencies in the distributions in Figure 5. We will show below that we have probably failed to detect half of the actual binaries in this sample; therefore many of the fourth "no companions" group are probably actually binaries, and many doubles may have higher multiplicities. However, we see no obvious reason why the binaries that are undetected (because of low orbital inclinations, low secondary masses, or faint secondary magnitudes) should have a distribution that is different from that shown in Figure 5 for the discovered binaries.

We tentatively conclude that the binaries show no pronounced grouping tendency in age or evolutionary state, or to decrease in frequency at later types, at least within the F3-G2 range.

VIII. INCOMPLETENESS NUMBERS AND SECONDARY MASSES

How many binaries have we missed? By noting the characteristics of the binaries discovered, we can



FIG. 5.—Evolutionary deviations from zero age main-sequence are expressed as Δc_1 measures and plotted against colors, both in mag. The types of binaries and stars not known to be double are plotted with different symbols.

predict which ones would be overlooked. These predictions are based on seven assumptions that seem reasonable, viz.:

- A. random orientation of orbital axes, even within multiple systems;
- B. failure to detect any SB1s with $K_1 < 2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$;
- C. failure to detect any SB2s with $K_1 < 20.0 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$;
- D. failure to detect any VBs with a < 0.3 and $\Delta V > 0.4$ mag;
- E. failure to measure half of the VBs with $0.3 < 10^{-1}$ a < 1".0 and 2.0 $< \Delta V \le 3.5$ mag, and essentially all of those with $\Delta V > 3.5$ mag;
- F. failure to measure one-third of the VBs or CPM stars with a > 1'' and $10.0 < V_2 \le 12.0$ mag, or two-thirds of those with $12.0 < V_2 \le 13.0$ mag, or all of those with $V_2 > 13.0$ mag;
- G. failure to discover almost all CPM stars with a > 75''.

Let us comment on these assumptions. Assumption A seems reasonable because there is (1) a lack of evidence (Huang and Wade 1966) for preferred galactic distribution of orbital planes of eclipsing binaries, or (2) a lack of a dependence of inclinations in visual systems on galactic latitude (Finsen 1933), or (3) the evidence for random orientation of rotational axes of field Ap stars (Abt, Chaffee, and Suffolk 1972). With regard to assumption B, we noted in § II the absence of any nonbinaries with sufficient scatter in their radial velocities to allow $K_1 > 3.0$ km s⁻¹; only four binaries (HR 244, 458, 2401, 2943) with $K_1 < 2.0$ km s⁻¹ have been found, partly by others. Actually, the incompleteness grows steeply from zero at $K_1 = 3.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to 100 percent at $K_1 = 1.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but for convenience of calculation we shall assume total completeness above $K_1 = 2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and total incompleteness below that value. With regard to C, since our spectral resolution is about 20 μ or 20 km s⁻¹, we will generally not detect SB2s with $K_1 < 20$ km s⁻¹.

301

The remaining assumptions do not imply that violations are impossible, but simply that violating cases are generally neglected. For instance, despite van Biesbroeck's concentration on closely spaced visual systems that were likely to show appreciable orbital motion in a lifetime, and his use of large telescopes, we note that his last set of measures (van Biesbroeck 1974) included about 500 systems with d < 1", but only 2.2 percent of those had $\Delta V \ge 3.5$ mag (after correction to the Finsen-Worley [1970] system of magnitude differences). With regard to assumption G, we note that the probability is 66 percent that, averaged over the sky, there will be a 13 (± 0.5) or 14 (± 0.5) mag field star within 75" of any star; therefore observers have not bothered to measure faint companions of bright stars to find out whether or not they have common proper motions.

The weakest part of these assumptions is probably the first part of E.

In the following analysis we will consider a grid of parameters with secondary masses (five different

PRINCIPAL FACTORS ENTERING INTO THE CALCULATIONS OF INCOMPLETENESS AND SECONDARY MASSES										
Protop	$\mathfrak{M}_{2}(\mathfrak{M}_{o})$									
(years)	1.2	0.6	0.3	0.15	0.075					
10^{-3} to 10^{-1}										
Kind	SB2	SB1	SB1	SB1	SB1					
Assumption	С	В	В	В	В					
Inclinations $\dots \dots$ 10^{-1} to 1	90°–21°	90°–3°	90°–5°	90°–9°	90°–18°					
Kind	SB2	SB1	SB1	SB1	SB1					
Assumption	С	В	В	В	В					
Inclinations	90°–47°	90°–5°	90°–9°	90°–17°	90°–33°					
1 to 10										
Kind	VB	SB1	SB1	SB1	SB1					
Assumption	D	в	В	В	В					
Inclinations		90°–14°	90°–25°	90°–51°	90°–90°					
Mean separation	0″.17									
10 to 10 ²										
Kind	VB	VB								
Assumption	E	E								
Mean separation	0″.77	0″.89	• • •	•••						
10^2 to 10^3										
Kind	VB	VB	СРМ							
Assumption	F	F	F		• • •					
Mean separation	1".64	4″.1	3″.73							
10 ³ to 10 ⁵										
Kind	CPM	CPM	CPM		• • •					
Assumption	\mathbf{F}	F		• • •	• • •					
Mean separation	16″	22″	51″							
>10 ⁵										
Kind	СРМ	CPM								
Assumption	G	G								
Mean separation	75″	193″			•••					

TABLE 7

1976ApJS...30..273A

Burron	\mathfrak{M}_2 (\mathfrak{M}_{\odot})					
(years)	1.2	0.6	0.3	0.15	0.075	Total
10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻¹						
Measured	6	4	4	2	2	18
I*	0.42	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.58
Total	6.42	4.00	4.02	2.03	2.11	18.58
10 ⁻¹ to 1						
Measured	2	2	1	1	2	8
<i>I</i>	0.94	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.40	1.40
Total	2.94	2.01	1.01	1.04	2.40	9.40
1 to 10	_	_				
Measured	3	6	5	3	0	17
<u>I</u>	•••	0.17	0.50	1.78	•••	
Total	•••	6.17	5.50	4.78	•••	
10 to 10 ²						
Measured	8	4	1	•••	•••	13
<i>I</i>	0.00	2.48	• • •	•••	•••	• • •
	8.00	6.48	•••	• • •	• • •	• • •
10 ² to 10°		-			•	
Measured	4	5	4	1	0	14
	0.00	0.4	6.8	•••	•••	
10tal	4.00	5.4	10.8	•••	•••	• • •
10° to 10°	2	0	2		0	1.5
	3 00	8	3	1	0	15
I Tetel	0.00	0.6	6.8	•••	• • •	• • •
10tal	3.00	8.6	9.8	•••	•••	• • •
> 10 ⁻ Management	1	2				
	T	2	•••	•••	•••	
Total	• • •	• • •	•••	•••	•••	• • •
101a1	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	• • •

 TABLE 8

 Summary of Frequencies of Various Secondary Masses

* $I \equiv$ Number of undetected binaries, or incompleteness value.

values) as one coordinate and period (seven period ranges) as the other. Many of the resulting 35 sets of parameters involve special observational situations, and they will have to be discussed individually to demonstrate how we can determine (in most of the cases) the numbers of observed and undetected systems having those characteristics. Table 7 gives the principal kinds of systems observed in each bin, the principal assumption made in deriving the incompleteness (in addition to random orientation of orbital planes), the observed inclination ranges, and the mean angular separation. The discussion will lead to the nearcompletion of Table 8.

Double-lined spectroscopic binaries yield the ratio of masses; if the value of the primary mass is assumed from its spectral type, the secondary mass becomes known. In the case of visual binaries, knowledge of the primary type and magnitude difference will lead to secondary masses if the assumption is made that the secondaries are also main-sequence stars. The occurrence of one exception—the white-dwarf companion to HR 2943 = α CMi—does not appear to be statistically significant.

The primary stars of types F3-G2 have masses of 1.5-1.0 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} ; the average is about 1.3 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} . The doublelined spectroscopic binaries have nearly equal (and known) secondary masses, but all systems with $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sim 1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ will not be detected because some of them will have inclinations *i* (between the plane of the orbit and the plane of the sky) that are too small for the velocity

amplitude $K_1 = 2\pi P^{-1}a_1(1-e^2)^{-1/2} \sin i$ to be greater than 20 km s⁻¹. For random orientation of orbital planes, the probability of detecting an inclination such that $i_1 \leq i \leq i_2$ is $(\cos i_1 - \cos i_2)$. For a given small range in period P, mean values of \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 (=1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}), and a mean value of the eccentricity e, we can compute the fraction of systems with K_1 greater than 20 km s⁻¹ relative to those with smaller values. We will identify the former group with the observed systems and compute the number of undiscovered systems in the latter group. For $P \approx 10^{-2}$ years and $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$, we observe six double-lined systems (HR 2846, 6596, 5304, 225, 6493, and 1210) with $\langle e \rangle = 0.13$; we compute that $K_1 < 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for $i < 21^\circ$, and since $\cos 0^\circ - \cos 21^\circ = 0.065$, there will be $0.065 \times 6 \times (0.935)^{-1}$ = 0.42 undetected binaries. Let us define I as the number of undetected systems in a sample; I = 0.42in this case and the total number of binaries is 6.42. These are the numbers given in the upper left box of Table 8.

In a similar way, we can compute that for $10^{-1} < P \le 1$ years, we will miss the SB2s with $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ if $i < 47^{\circ}$, and the observed two binaries correspond to an actual total of 2.94 binaries.

For longer periods and $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sim 1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$, the systems will not be SB2s, but rather VBs, and different assumptions will provide incompleteness values. For instance, for $1 < P \le 10$ years, we admit temporary defeat because the angular separation has an average value of 0.717 and assumption D is applicable. For the three

observed binaries all have $\Delta V < 0.3$ mag. But to predict the total with $1.3 \leq \mathfrak{M}_2 < 0.9 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ requires knowledge of the luminosity function of the secondaries, which we do not know at this point. Let us bypass this set of parameters until later.

For the period range 10–100 years, the mean separation is 0".77 and for $1.3 \le \mathfrak{M}_2 < 0.9 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$, the brightness difference will be $V \le 2.0$ mag or $V_2 \le 7.8$ mag. According to assumption E, all such systems will be detected and our incompleteness value will be zero. Similarly, for longer periods I = 0 also, and the observed systems nearly complete the first column of Table 8.

Let us consider next the smaller secondary masses, proceeding by factors of 2.0 in mean mass, i.e., $\mathfrak{M}_2 =$ 0.6, 0.3, 0.15, and 0.075 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot} (hereafter solar mass units will be used throughout). The data on SB2s make it clear that all these systems will be SB1s or VBs and CPM pairs with large magnitude differences. The treatment of the latter two groups is straightforward: for long periods where the components are separated visually, the secondary masses for the observed systems can be estimated from their absolute magnitudes and the mass-luminosity relation (Harris, Strand, and Worley 1963). Then the incompleteness values can be derived from application of the assumptions. This procedure works until the incompleteness values dominate the observed numbers, at which point the method is statistically unsound. At that stage in the analysis the entries will be indeterminate. This indeterminacy occurs for $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.3$ and $P > 10^5$ years,

for $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.15$ and P > 10 years, and for $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.075$ and P > 1 year.

For the SB1s ($P \le 10$ years, generally) and $\mathfrak{M}_2 <$ 0.6, the following procedure applies. Single-lined spectroscopic binaries yield values of the mass function, $\mathfrak{M}_2^3 \sin^3 i(\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2)^{-2}$, which depend on the primary mass (assumed known), secondary mass (unknown), and orbital inclination (unknown). But it is possible to derive statistical values of \mathfrak{M}_2 and *i* plus the incompleteness numbers. From the mass function we can estimate values of $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sin i$ because the remaining factor of $\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2$ is relatively insensitive to \mathfrak{M}_2 if $\mathfrak{M}_1 > \mathfrak{M}_2$. In a given period range (or for a mean value of the period) and for a given \mathfrak{M}_2 , we can compute the probabilities of observing various values of $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sin i$ and match them against the observed values. We start with the largest values of $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sin i (> 0.45)$ and attribute them to $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.6$ to find how many stars have $\mathfrak{M}_2 =$ 0.6 and *i* above a certain cutoff value. The cutoff value is determined by computing for that mean period and secondary mass the range in *i* such that $K_1 < 2.0$ km s⁻¹ (assumption B). For instance, for $\langle P \rangle = 10^{-2}$ years and $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.6$, $K_1 < 2.0$ km s⁻¹ if $i < 3^\circ$, for which the probability of $0^\circ \le i < 3^\circ$ is 0.001, and for four observed systems the incompleteness value is 0.004, which is insignificant.

After all the larger measured values of $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sin i$ are used up with stars of $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.6$, we will consider the $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 0.3$ group and match the larger remaining values of $\mathfrak{M}_2 \sin i$ against the probability distribution for that mass. We again compute the cutoff value in $i (=5^\circ)$ to

20 van Rhijn STARS 15 Р NUMBER van Rhijn 10 >10³-10⁵ 10-10² 02--103 10 5 10-3-10-1 0 1.2 0.6 0.3 0.15 0.075 1.2 0.6 0.3 0.15 0.075 SECONDARY MASS (0)

FIG. 6.—The frequencies of binaries having various secondary masses (grouped in bins) are plotted as circles. The segments connect data points for various period ranges expressed in years. Data for binaries with periods less than 100 years are shown in the left panel and for binaries with larger periods in the right panel. The van Rhijn luminosity function, transformed to a mass scale, has been normalized at 6.0 stars of mass $1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ in the left panel and at 3.0 stars of mass $1.2 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ in the right panel. The data points include both the observed and undetected binaries.

determine the incompleteness (I = 0.02) for the four observed systems. This proceeds until all 12 of the known SB1s with $10^{-3} < P \le 10^{-1}$ years are assigned to specific secondary masses; the results are listed in the first rows of Table 8.

The same procedure can be used to analyze the eight systems with $10^{-1} < P \le 1$ years and the 17 systems with $1 < P \le 10$ years because all these are SB2s or SB1s. Admittedly the total numbers are small for a statistical analysis, but we did not want to use too large steps in period for fear of missing the period at which a drastic change in secondary luminosity functions occurs (see below).

The results of this lengthy analysis are given in Table 8. The measured or observed stars in the table can be identified with specific systems (by HR number), but the undetected systems listed as "I" cannot be assigned to specific HR systems, i.e., we do not know which HR systems will have the undetected companions.

The totals for each (P, \mathfrak{M}_2) bin in Table 8 are plotted in Figure 6, where the data for P < 100 years are plotted on the left and for P > 100 years on the right. In each panel we plotted a van Rhijn distribution function in which the standard (Allen 1963) luminosity function is converted to frequencies for the mass groups used; the van Rhijn functions have been normalized to six stars with $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 1.2$ in the left panel and three stars with $\mathfrak{M}_2 = 1.2$ in the right panel. The revisions proposed recently to the van Rhijn function for $\mathfrak{M} < 0.15$ do not significantly effect the analysis below.

We see that the mass-function segments for the secondaries in systems with P < 100 years deviate drastically from a van Rhijn function, but that the secondary mass-function segments for systems with P > 100 years seem to fit the van Rhijn function within the expected accuracy, which is the square root of the total number in each case. Since the numbers of systems with P > 100 years are small, the conclusion for these systems is less secure. The combined data for all the segments in the left panel suggest that the frequency is proportional to $\mathfrak{M}_2^{1/3}$. Formally, the exponent is computed to be 0.35 with an estimated probable error of at least ± 0.10 . We would like to have a better determination of this exponent, but unfortunately many more systems would be needed in the analysis.

The large difference in secondary mass functions for P < 100 and P > 100 years suggests that there are two types of binaries involved. We can guess that the shorter-period binaries are the fission or bifurcation systems in which the contracting protostar has too much angular momentum to form a stable single star, so it subdivides into a binary system. The mass function for such systems suggests that there is a tendency for the angular momentum and hence mass to divide roughly equally, i.e., very dissimilar masses become increasingly infrequent. Then the longer-period binaries are ones in which the two stars contract independently, but they happen to be bound gravitationally to one another. In that case there is no relation between the values of the two component masses, so the van Rhijn

distribution should hold true separately for each component.

The break period of 100 years corresponds to a sum of the semimajor axes of 28 AU or 4×10^9 km. This, then, is probably a characteristic size for a protostar at that time that it starts to subdivide. If a mass of $2.4 \,\mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ is distributed uniformly over this diameter, the density is 1.5×10^{-10} g cm⁻³. We note that this value is close to the gas density of 7.5×10^{-10} g cm⁻³ often assumed (e.g., Goldreich and Ward 1973) in the primordial solar nebula at the time of planetary formation. Could it be that the formation of planetary systems is one result of the subdivision of a protostar having excessive angular momentum?

It might be strange, if there are two mechanisms for binary formation involved, that the distribution of periods shown in Figure 4 shows no change in slope at the break period of 100 years. However, without a detailed understanding of the mechanisms, we are unable to comment constructively on this matter.

At this point we can understand the failure of Anderson and Kraft (1972) to find many smallamplitude SB1 systems. They used a very high dispersion (5 Å mm⁻¹) and measured only sharp-lined stars. Since their observations were all made within 2 years, they did not detect the numerous systems with small secondary masses and P > 100 years; instead, they were looking at systems with P < 100 years and hence found few with low secondary masses, as is expected from the left panel of Figure 6. We should add that for stars that occurred in both studies we found no discrepancies, and we were aided by the use of some of their individual measures that Anderson and Kraft kindly furnished to us.

IX. TOTAL MULTIPLICITY FREQUENCIES

There are gaps in Table 8 that are caused by our inability to detect some or all of the binaries with certain combinations of P and \mathfrak{M}_2 . If we now assume that the secondary mass function is proportional to $\mathfrak{M}_2^{1/3}$ for P < 100 years and the van Rhijn relation for longer periods, we can fill in the gaps.

After Table 8 is completed, we calculated 172 stellar companions for 123 primaries, or a duplicity frequency of 140 percent. Again we emphasize that it is no longer possible to assign the companions to individual primaries. Obviously single stars are going to be infrequent. It will be possible to present below a plausible, but not certain, estimate of the frequencies of singles, doubles, triples, and quadruples.

So far we have only considered secondary masses down to $0.07 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$, but it is perhaps likely that the left set of mass functions in Figure 6 does not vanish at that mass. According to Kumar (1963), smaller masses do not produce main-sequence stars, but rather contracting "black dwarfs" with degenerate cores that cool off in less than 10⁹ years. Lower-mass components would directly become planets; let us refer to both as "degenerate companions."

We could predict the number of degenerate companions if we were certain of the exponent in the mass

SOLAR-TYPE STARS

function for the bifurcation-binary secondaries and that the power law was valid to very small masses. However, acceptance of the cube-root mass function gives results that yield an interesting model.

For periods less than 100 years, the 123 primaries have 83 companions (67 percent) with $1.3 > \mathfrak{M}_2 >$ 0.06. Extrapolating the cube-root relation to zero mass implies the existence of 45 degenerate companions (37 percent); some of these could be multiple planets. The sum is very close to 100 percent. This would mean that each primary has either a stellar secondary (in 2/3 of the cases) or a degenerate companion (in 1/3 of the cases), each with a period less than about 100 years. We find this tentative result plausible for the following reason.

Interstellar clouds have mean random internal motions (Cunningham 1968) of about 1.0 km s⁻¹. It may be that a protostar condensation consists of a single turbulent eddy with a smaller mean motion, but one that is unlikely to be orders of magnitude smaller. After the condensation has contracted by a factor of between 10³ and 10⁴ to form a single rotating protostar, the angular speed is likely to be too high for stability because the critical rotational speed of a solar-type star is about 400 km s⁻¹. There may be ways involving magnetic braking or rapidly spinning cores to solve the discrepancy between the high expected rotational velocity (e.g., $\sim 10^3$ km s⁻¹) and the small observed values (1–10 km s⁻¹) for such stars, but a more frequent solution may be the universal one. Data for typical binary systems indicate that those systems have 10² to 10³ times as much angular momentum in orbital motion as in rotational motion. Both the rotational and orbital angular momentum must have come from the angular momentum of the protostar prior to subdivision. Therefore it seems rather logical to suppose that all protostar condensations subdivided to form binaries with either stellar or degenerate companions.

For periods greater than about 100 years, the van Rhijn function predicts about 89 stellar secondaries for the 123 primaries, or about 72 percent. There is probably no problem in a binary, as described in the previous paragraph and with a period less than 100 years, having a second companion with a longer period. For instance, for observed triple and quadruple systems with fairly well determined periods (no CPM stars), the smallest ratios of periods are as follows: 9.1 or 18.2 for HR 855 (31.6 or 63.1 years to 3.48 years); 32.7 for HR 4374-5 (59.840 years to 1.832 years); 140 for HR 8566 (143 years to 1.02 years). These extremely small ratios imply that we can combine the two parts of Figure 6 with the result that, if a pair has a period less than roughly 100 years, it may also have an additional companion with a longer period.

The van Rhijn function has not been determined for degenerate components, so we cannot extrapolate that function below $0.07 \mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$. But just as we argued that the primary is unlikely to be a single star, so we can argue that the distant secondary, which contracted independently of the primary condensation, also is unlikely to be a single star if the subdivision process occurs universally for protostars of less than solar mass. If so, we will encounter either doubles or quadruples but few singles or triples. The numbers above imply that 72 percent of the primaries have distant stellar companions (that may themselves be doubles in each case), but we cannot say whether the remaining 28 percent of the primaries have distant degenerate companions or no distant companions at all. In summary, our plausible model is given in Table 9, i.e., all of the primaries are double and at least 72 percent may be quadruple.

We should emphasize that the results above are derived for F3–G2 IV, V stars, and they may not apply to much earlier or later-type dwarfs, even though there seems to be no major change within the narrow spectral range studied.

We should also emphasize that statements above regarding certain aspects of star and binary formation are simply apparent deductions from the observational data; a real understanding of the formation processes must depend on a consistent physical analysis.

Finally, we can ask two additional questions. First, how much mass occurs in the companions to the 123 primaries? Most of it occurs in the more massive of the stellar companions. The sum of the secondary masses gives $81 \,\mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ for $162 \,\mathfrak{M}_{\odot}$ in the primaries, i.e., the companions have just half of the total primary mass. Thus the problem with the missing mass in galaxies is unlikely to be solved by the mass in companions to stars. Second, how much visual light is contributed by the companions? Again, the more massive of the stellar companions contribute almost all of the light. On the average the secondaries contribute 0.23 of the primary light, so the multiple systems are 0.22 mag brighter than the primaries. Therefore, when comparing real stars with stellar models, the former should be about 0.22 mag brighter on the average.

We thank Kurt Anderson, Robert Kraft, David Crawford, and Jeanette Barnes for unpublished data, several people for helpful discussions, and the referee for some good comments.

TABLE 9					
CONCLUSIONS REGARDING TOTAL MULTIPLICITY					

	Primaries (P < 100 years)	Distant Companions $(P > 100 \text{ years})$
Stellar companions	~ 67%	~72%
Degenerate companions	~ 33	0–28

- Abt, H. A. 1970, Ap. J. Suppl., **19**, 387. ——. 1973, *ibid.*, **26**, 365. Abt, H. A., Chaffee, F. H., and Suffolk, G. 1972, Ap. J., **175**, 779
- Abt, H. A., and Levy, S. G. 1969, *A.J.*, **74**, 908. Allen, C. W. 1963, *Astrophysical Quantities* (2d ed.; London: Athlone).
- Anderson, K. S., and Kraft, R. P. 1972, *Ap. J.*, **172**, 631. Baize, P. 1942, *Astronomie*, **56**, 157. ——. 1948, *J. d. Obs.*, **31**, 35.

- 448

- -. 1967a, ibid., p. 31. -. 1967b, Astr. Nach., **289**, 269. -. 1971, IAU Comm. 26 Circ. d'Inform., No. 53.
- Hoffleit, D. 1964, Catalogue of Bright Stars (3d ed., revised; New Haven: Yale University Observatory).
- Hopmann, J. 1958, Mitt. Univ. Sternw. Wien, 9, 177.

- Huang, S.-S., and Wade, C., Jr. 1966, Ap. J., 143, 146. Jaschek, C., and Gomez, A. E. 1970, Pub. A.S.P., 82, 809. Jaschek, C., and Jaschek, M. 1957, Pub. A.S.P., 69, 546.

- 119.

- Luyten, W. J., and Ebbighausen, E. G. 1934, Pub. Astr. Obs. Univ. Minnesota, 2, No. 1.
 Makemson, M. W. 1958, AJ., 63, 41.
 Moore, C. E. 1945, Contr. Princeton Univ. Obs., No. 20.
 Moore, J. H. 1932, Pub. Lick Obs., 18, 1.
 Muller, P. 1957, Bull. Astr. Paris, 21, 131.
 Osawa, K. 1957, Ap. J., 125, 707.
 Paddock, G. F., and Struve, O. 1954, Ap. J., 119, 342.
 Parker, T. H. 1915, Pub. Dom. Obs., 2, 331.
 Pearce, J. A. 1923, Lick Obs. Bull., 11, 131.
 Petrie, R. M. 1949, Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs., 8, 117.
 —. 1960, Ann. d'Ap., 23, 744.
 Petrie, R. M., and Phibbs, E. 1949, Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs., 8, 225. 225
- Plaskett, J. S., Harper, W. E., Young, R. K., and Plaskett, H. H. 1920, *Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs.*, 1, 163.
 Sanford, R. F. 1942, *Ap. J.*, 95, 425.
 Schlesinger, F. 1930, *Catalogue of Bright Stars* (New Haven:
- Schlesinger, F. 1930, Catalogue of Bright S Yale University Observatory).
 Slettebak, A. 1955, Ap. J., 121, 653.
 Spite, M. 1967, Ann d'Ap., 30, 211.
 Strand, K. Aa. 1969, A.J., 74, 760.
 Strömgren, B. 1964, Ap. Norvegica, 9, 333.
 Struve, O. 1923, Ap. J., 58, 141.
 Turner, A. B. 1907, Lick Obs. Bull., 4, 163.
 Underbill A B. 1963, Pub Dom Ap. Obs.

- Iunderhill, A. B. 1967, *Elck Vols. Dat.*, **9**, 105.
 Underhill, A. B. 1963, *Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs.*, **12**, 159.
 van Biesbroeck, G. 1974, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, **28**, 413.
 van de Kamp, P., and Lippincott, S. L. 1945, *A.J.*, **51**, 162.
 van den Bos, W. H. 1928, *Mem. Acad. Roy. Sci. Lettres*, *Denmark*, series **8**, **12**, 295.
 1028 Using Obs. Cinc. **4**, 445.

Young, R. K. 1923, Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs., 2, 205. Zeller, G. 1965, Ann. Univ. Sternw. Wien, 26, 114.

97, 438.

HELMUT A. ABT and SAUL G. LEVY: Kitt Peak National Observatory, Box 26732, Tucson, AZ 85726